arXiv:1511.04876v1 [math.AG] 16 Nov 2015

Integral points on conic log K3 surfaces

Yonatan Harpaz

November 17, 2015

Abstract

Adapting a powerful method of Swinnerton-Dyer, we give explicit sufficient conditions for the existence of integral points on certain schemes which are fibered into affine conics. This includes, in particular, cases where the scheme is geometrically a smooth log K3 surface. To the knowledge of the author, this is the first family of log K3 surfaces for which such conditions are established.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 2-Descent for quadratic norm 1 tori **5**
2.1 Preliminaries 5
2.2 The Selmer and dual Selmer groups 7
2.3 Back to S_0-integral points 11
2.4 The weak and strict Selmer groups 12

3 Pencils of affine conics **13**
3.1 A Theorem of Green, Tao and Ziegler 19
3.2 The vertical Brauer groups 20
3.3 Suitable adelic points 22
3.4 Fibers with points everywhere locally 23
3.5 The Selmer groups of admissible fibers 24
3.6 Comparing Selmer groups of nearby fibers 27
3.7 Proof of the main theorem 31

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to contribute to the Diophantine study of schemes by adapting a powerful method, pioneered by Swinnerton-Dyer, to the context of integral points. Let S denote a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing the real place. We will apply this method to give sufficient conditions for the existence

of S-integral points on pencils of affine conics $\mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S$ which are determined inside the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}(-n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-m)$ by an equation of the form

$$f(t,s)x^2 + g(t,s)y^2 = 1, \tag{1}$$

where $f(t,s), g(t,s) \in \mathbb{Z}_S[t,s]$ are separable homogeneous polynomials of degrees $2n$ and $2m$ respectively, which split completely over $\mathbb{Z}_S$. To describe a sample result, consider the case $n = m = 1$. Since f, g split into linear factors we can write $f(t,s) = a(c_1t + d_1s)(c_2t + d_2s)$ and $g(t,s) = b(c_1t + d_1s)(c_2t + d_2s)$ where each c_i, d_i is a coprime pair of S-integers. We will denote by $\Delta_{i,j} = c_jd_i - c_id_j$ the respective resultants, which we assume to be non-zero.

Theorem 1.0.1 (See Theorem 3.0.22)**.** *Let S be a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing $2, \infty$. Let $f(t,s), g(t,s) \in \mathbb{Z}_S[t,s]$ be homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 which split completely over $\mathbb{Z}_S$ and let $\mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S$ be the pencil of affine conics given by 1. Let a, b and $\Delta_{i,j} \neq 0$ be as above. Assume the following:*

1. *The S-integers a, b are square-free and not divisible by 3 or 5.*
2. *The classes of the elements $\{-1, a, b\} \cup \{\Delta_{i,j}\}_{i>j}$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$.*
3. *$\mathcal{Y}$ has an S-integral adelic point.*

Then $\mathcal{Y}$ has an S-integral point.

The surface $\mathcal{Y}$ of Theorem 1.0.1 is an example of a **smooth log K3 surface**. As it is fibered into affine conics, it can be considered as the S-integral analogue of an elliptic K3 surface, and may hence be called a **conic log K3 surface**. Theorem 1.0.1 then gives the first family of (non-proper) log K3 surfaces for which sufficient algebraic conditions for the existence of S-integral points have been described. To put this in perspective, to the knowledge of author the only general classes of non-proper varieties for which sufficient conditions of this kind where established are homogeneous spaces under affine groups (see [9],[2],[28],[29]).

When studying S-integral points on an $\mathcal{O}_S$-scheme $\mathcal{X}$ of finite type, one often begins by considering the set of S-integral adelic points

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}_{k,S}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{v \in S} X(k_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)$$

where $X = \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} k$ is the generic fiber of $\mathcal{X}$. If $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}_{k,S}) = \emptyset$ one may immediately deduce that X has no S-integral points. In general, it can happen that $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}_{k,S}) \neq \emptyset$ but $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ is still empty. One way to account for this phenomenon is given by the integral version of the **Brauer-Manin obstruction**, introduced in [9]. To this end one considers the set

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}_{k,S})^{\mathrm{Br}(X)} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}_{k,S}) \cap X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}}$$

given by intersecting the set of S-integral adelic points with the Brauer set of X. When $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}_{k,S})^{\mathrm{Br}(X)} = \emptyset$ one says that there is a Brauer-Manin obstruction

to the existence of S-integral points. In their paper [9], Colliot-Thélène and Xu showed that if X is a homogeneous space under a simply-connected semi-simple algebraic group G with connected geometric stablizers, and G satisfies a certain non-compactness condition over S, then the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the existence of S-integral points on $\mathcal{X}$. The results of [9] were then extended to incorporate more general connected algebraic groups in [2]. Similar results hold when X is a principal homogeneous space of an algebraic group of multiplicative type (see [28],[29]). In [12] Harari and Voloch conjecture that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only one for S-integral points on open subsets of $\mathbb{P}^1_S$, but show that this does not hold for open subsets of elliptic curves. Other counter-examples for which the Brauer-Manin obstruction is not sufficient to explain the lack of S-integral points are known. In some of these cases, one can still account for the lack of S-integral points by considering the integral Brauer set of a suitable **étale cover**, see [8, Example 5.10]. Other counter-examples involve an "obstruction at infinity", which can occur even when X is geometrically very nice, for example, when X is log rationally connected (see [8, Example 5.9]). For a construction of a log K3 (and in particular simply connected) surfaces $\mathcal{X}$ which is not obstructed at infinity, and for which $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}_{k,S})^{\mathrm{Br}(X)} \neq \emptyset$ but $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_S) = \emptyset$, see [13].

The method of Swinnerton-Dyer which will be adapted in this paper can be considered as an extension of the **fibration method** – a technique designed to prove the existence of rational points on a variety X when X is equipped with a dominant map $\pi : X \longrightarrow B$ such that both B and the generic fiber of π are arithmetically well-behaved. For example, when $B = \mathbb{P}^1_k$ and the fibers of π above $\mathbb{A}^1_k$ are split (i.e., contain a geometrically irreducible open subset), one may use the fibration method in order to approximate an adelic point $(x_v) \in X^{\mathrm{Br}}(\mathbb{A}_k)$ by an adelic point $(x'_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)$ lying over a rational point $t \in \mathbb{P}^1_k(k)$ (see [21], which builds on techniques used in [5]). If more fibers are non-split, but are still geometrically split, more subtle variants of the fibration method can come into play. Such ideas were first used by Hasse in the reduction of his theorem on quadratic hypersurfaces to the 1-dimensional case, and were extensively developed by Colliot-Thélène, Sansuc, Serre, Skorobogatov, Swinnerton-Dyer and others (see [20],[24],[3],[6]). The resulting methods often require the assumption of certain number theoretic conjectures, such as Schinzel's hypothesis in suitable cases or Conjecture 9.1 of [16]. Unfortunately, these conjectures are only known to hold in very special cases (see [16, §9.2]), e.g., when $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and all the non-split fibers lie over rational points.

If the fibers of π satisfy the Hasse principle, and one manages to find an adelic point $(x'_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)$ which lies above a rational point $t \in \mathbb{P}^1_k(k)$, then one may conclude that a rational point exists. Otherwise, one needs to consider the Brauer-Manin obstruction on the fibers. If the generic fiber is rationally connected (or satisfies a slightly more general condition), one may use techniques developed by Harari in [11] (see also [16]) to make sure that the adelic point $(x'_v) \in X_t(\mathbb{A}_k)$ constructed above is orthogonal to the Brauer group of the fiber X_t. If the generic fiber is not so well-behaved, the situation becomes considerably more complicated, and additional techniques are required. The

most powerful example of such a subtle technique was pioneered by Swinnerton-Dyer, and was aimed at dealing with fibrations whose fibers form a family of torsors under a family of abelian varieties A_t. When the torsors split under a pullback along an isogeny $\varphi_t : A_t \longrightarrow A_t$ one may attempt to combine the fibration method with a suitable form of **φ_t-descent** on the fibers. We will consequently refer to this method as the **descent-fibration** method.

The descent-fibration method first appeared in Swinnerton-Dyer's paper [25], where it was applied to diagonal del-Pezzo surfaces of degree 4. It was later generalized and established as a method to study rational points on pencils of genus 1 curves in [7]. Additional applications include more general del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 ([1],[4],[30]), diagonal del Pezzo surfaces of degree 3 ([27]), Kummer surfaces ([23], [14]) and more general elliptic fibrations ([4],[30]). In all these papers one is trying to establish the existence of rational points on a variety X. In order to apply the method one exploits a suitable geometric structure on X in order to reduce the problem to the construction of rational points on a suitable fibered variety $Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ whose fibers are torsors under a family $A \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of abelian varieties. The first step is to apply the fibration method above in order to find a $t \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ such that the fiber Y_t has points everywhere locally (this part typically uses the vanishing of the Brauer-Manin obstruction, and often requires Schinzel's hypothesis). The second step then consists of modifying t until a suitable part of the Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш^1(A_t)$ vanishes, implying the existence of a k-rational point on Y_t. This part typically uses a suitable form of descent in order to control $Ш^1(A_t)$ (for example, when the Y_t are torsors of order 2, this is the classical 2-descent procedure for computing the 2-torsion of $Ш^1(A_t)$ via the associated 2-Selmer groups), and assumes, in additional to a possible Schinzel hypothesis, the finiteness of the Tate-Shafarevich group for all relevant abelian varieties.

The descent-fibration method is currently the only method powerful enough to prove (though often conditionally) the existence of rational points on families of varieties which includes non-rationally conncted varieties, such as K3 surfaces. It is hence the only source of evidence for the question of whether or not the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only one for K3 surfaces.

The purpose of this paper is to study an adaptation of the descent-fibration method to the realm of **integral points**. To this end, we will replace torsors under abelian varieties with **torsors under algebraic tori**. In particular, we will focus our attention on torsors under **norm** 1 **tori** associated to quadratic extensions. In §2 we will develop a 2-descent formalism suitable for this context. Building on this formalism, we will adapt in §3 the descent-fibration method in order to study integral points on pencils of conics of the form 1. In particular, we will obtain explicit sufficient conditions for the existence of S-integral points on a certain natural family of conic log K3 surfaces, as described in Theorem 1.0.1. Apart from the application described in this paper, we expect this new integral descent-fibration method to be applicable in many other cases, opening the door for understanding integral points beyond the realm of log rationally connected schemes.

2 2-Descent for quadratic norm 1 tori

Let k be a number field, S_0 a finite set of places of k and $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ the ring of S_0-integers of k. In this section we will develop a 2-descent formalism whose goal is to yield sufficient conditions for the existence S_0-integral points on schemes of the form

$$ax^2 + by^2 = 1 \tag{2}$$

where a, b are a mutually coprime S_0-integers. To this end we will study certain Tate-Shafarevich groups associated with 2, and define suitable Selmer groups to compute them. The main result of this section is Corollary 2.3.2 below, which will be used in §3 to find S_0-integral points on schemes which are fibered into affine conics of type 2.

2.1 Preliminaries

Let $d \in \mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ be a non-zero S_0-integer and let $K = k(\sqrt{d})$ be the associated quadratic extension. We will denote by T_0 the set of places of K lying above S_0. Let us assume that d satisfies the following condition:

Assumption 2.1.1. *For every $v \notin S_0$ we have $\mathrm{val}_v(d) \leq 1$ and $\mathrm{val}_v(d) = 1$ if v lies above 2.*

The following useful lemma is by no means novel, but we could not find an explicit reference.

Lemma 2.1.2. *If Assumption 2.1.1 holds then the ring $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}$ is generated, as an $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$-module, by 1 and d.*

Proof. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{T_0}$ be an element and write $\beta = t + s\sqrt{d}$ with $t, s \in k$. We wish to show $\mathrm{val}_v(t) \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{val}_v(s) \geq 0$ for every $v \notin S_0$. Since $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{T_0}$ we have that $2t = \mathrm{Tr}_{K/k}(\beta) \in \mathcal{O}_{S_0}$. Hence if $v \notin S_0$ is an odd place then $\mathrm{val}_v(t) \geq 0$ and since $\mathrm{val}_v(d) \in \{0, 1\}$ it follows that $\mathrm{val}_v(s) \geq 0$ as well. Now assume that v lies above 2. Since we assumed $\mathrm{val}_v(d) = 1$ it follows that v is ramified in K and there exists a unique place w above v. Furthermore, $\mathrm{val}_w(\sqrt{d}) = 1$. It then follows that $\mathrm{val}_w(t) = 2\,\mathrm{val}_v(t)$ is even and $\mathrm{val}_w\left(s\sqrt{d}\right) = 2\,\mathrm{val}_v(s) + 1$ is odd. This means that

$$0 \leq \mathrm{val}_w(\beta) = \mathrm{val}_w\left(t + s\sqrt{d}\right) = \min\left(\mathrm{val}_w(t), \mathrm{val}_w\left(s\sqrt{d}\right)\right)$$

and hence $2\,\mathrm{val}_v(t) = \mathrm{val}_w(t) \geq 0$ and $2\,\mathrm{val}_v(s) = \mathrm{val}_w(s) \geq -\mathrm{val}_w(\sqrt{d}) = -1$. This implies that $\mathrm{val}_v(t) \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{val}_v(s) \geq 0$, as desired. □

Let $\mathcal{T}_0$ denote the group scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ given by the equation

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

We may identify the S_0-integral points of $\mathcal{T}_0$ with the set of units in $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}$ whose norm is 1 (in which case the group operation is given by multiplication in $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}$).

We note that technically the group scheme $\mathcal{T}_0$ is not an algebraic torus over $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$, since it does not split over an étale extension of $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$. For every divisor $a|d$ we may consider the affine $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$-scheme $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ given by the equation

$$ax^2 + by^2 = 1 \tag{3}$$

where $b = -\frac{d}{a}$. Let $I_a \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{T_0}$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}$-ideal generated by a and $\sqrt{d}$. Lemma 2.1.2 implies, in particular, that I_a is generated by a and $\sqrt{d}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$**-module**. It follows that the association $(x, y) \mapsto ax + \sqrt{d}y$ identifies the set of S_0-integral points of $\mathcal{X}$ with the set of elements in I_a whose norm is a. We note that the norm of I_a is the ideal generated by a, and hence we may consider the scheme $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ above as parameterizing **generators** of I_a whose norm is exactly a. We have a natural action of the algebraic group $\mathcal{T}_0$ on the scheme $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ corresponding to multiplying a generator by a unit. Assumption 2.1.1 implies that a and b are coprime in $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ (i.e., the ideal $(a, b) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ generated by a, b is equal to $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$). This, in turn, implies that the action of $\mathcal{T}_0$ on $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ exhibits $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ as a **torsor** under $\mathcal{T}_0$, locally trivial in the étale topology, and hence classified by an element in the étale cohomology group $\alpha_a \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_{S_0}, \mathcal{T}_0)$. The solubility of $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ is equivalent to the condition $\alpha_a = 0$. The study of S_0-integral points on $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ hence naturally leads to the study of étale cohomology groups as above, just as the study of rational points on curves of genus 1 naturally leads to Galois cohomology groups of their Jacobians. Our main goal in this paper is to construct an adaptation of Swinnerton-Dyer's method where abelian varieties and their torsors are replaced by group schemes of the form $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}$ and their torsors $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$, respectively.

We now observe that the torsor $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ is not an arbitrary torsor of $\mathcal{T}_0$. Since $1 \in (a, b)$ it follows that $a \in I_a^2$ and hence $I_a^2 = (a)$ by norm considerations. In particular, if $\beta = ax + \sqrt{d}y \in I_a$ has norm a then $\frac{\beta^2}{a} \in \mathcal{O}_d$ has norm 1. This operation can be realized as a map of $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$-schemes

$$q : \mathcal{Z}_0^a \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_0.$$

The action of $\mathcal{T}_0$ on $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ is compatible with the action of $\mathcal{T}_0$ on itself via the multiplication-by-2 map $\mathcal{T}_0 \xrightarrow{2} \mathcal{T}_0$. We will say that q is a map of $\mathcal{T}_0$-torsors covering the map $\mathcal{T}_0 \xrightarrow{2} \mathcal{T}_0$. It then follows that the element $\alpha_a \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_{S_0}, \mathcal{T}_0)$ is a 2**-torsion element** and we are naturally lead to study the 2-torsion group $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{S_0}, \mathcal{T}_0)[2]$.

Finally, an obvious necessary condition for the existence of S_0-integral points on $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ is that $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ carries an S_0-integral **adelic point**. This condition restricts the possible elements α_a to a suitable subgroup of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{S_0}, \mathcal{T}_0)$, which we may call $Ш^1(\mathcal{T}_0, S_0)$. We are therefore interested in studying the 2-torsion subgroup $Ш^1(\mathcal{T}_0, S_0)[2]$. It turns out that these groups are more well-behaved when the group scheme $\mathcal{T}_0$ is a **algebraic torus**, i.e, splits in an étale extension of the base ring. To this end we will temporary extend our scalars from $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ to $\mathcal{O}_S$ for a suitable finite subset $S \supset S_0$. This will be done in the next subsection. Our final goal is to prove Corollary 2.3.2, in which we will be able to obtain information on S_0-integral points of the original scheme $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$, prior to the extension of scalars.

2.2 The Selmer and dual Selmer groups

Let S be the union of S_0 with all the places which ramify in K and all the places above 2. We will denote by $T \subseteq \Omega_K$ the set of places of K which lie above S. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the base change of $\mathcal{T}_0$ from $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ to $\mathcal{O}_S$. We note that $\mathcal{T}$ becomes isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_m$ after base changing from $\mathcal{O}_S$ to $\mathcal{O}_T$, and $\mathcal{O}_T/\mathcal{O}_S$ is an étale extension of rings. This means that $\mathcal{T}$ is an **algebraic torus** over $\mathcal{O}_S$. We will denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ the character group of $\mathcal{T}$, considered as an étale sheaf over $\mathrm{spec}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. We will use the notation $H^i(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{F})$ to denote étale cohomology of $\mathrm{spec}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ with coefficients in the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 2.2.1.

1. We will denote by $Ш^1(\mathcal{T}, S) \subseteq H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{T})$ the kernel of the map
$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^1(k_v, \mathcal{T} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} k_v).$$

2. We will denote by $Ш^2(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S) \subseteq H^2(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{T}})$ the kernel of the map
$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{T}}) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^2(k_v, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} k_v).$$

Since $\mathcal{T}$ is an algebraic torus we may apply [18, Theorem 4.6(a), 4.7] and deduce that the groups $Ш^1(\mathcal{T}, S)$ and $Ш^2(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$ are finite and that the cup product in étale cohomology with compact support induces a perfect pairing

$$Ш^1(\mathcal{T}, S) \times Ш^2(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}. \tag{4}$$

Since 2 is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_S$ the multiplication by 2 map $\mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{2} \mathcal{T}$ is surjective when considered as a map of étale sheaves on $\mathrm{spec}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. We hence obtain a short exact sequence of étale sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{2} \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We define the **Selmer group** $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S)$ to be the subgroup $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S) \subseteq H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ consisting of all elements whose image in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{T})$ belongs to $Ш^1(\mathcal{T}, S)$. We consequently obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_S(\mathcal{O}_S)/2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S) \longrightarrow Ш^1(\mathcal{T}, S)[2] \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{T}_S(\mathcal{O}_S)/2$ denotes the cokernel of the map $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{O}_S) \xrightarrow{2} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Similarly, we have a short exact sequence of étale sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \xrightarrow{2} \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0$$

and we define the **dual Selmer group** $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S) \subseteq H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ to be the subgroup consisting of all elements whose image in $H^2(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{T}})$ belongs to $Ш^2(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$. The dual Selmer group then sits in a short exact sequence of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{T}})/2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S) \longrightarrow Ш^2(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)[2] \longrightarrow 0$$

Let us now describe the Selmer group more explicitly. The map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{T})$ can be described as follows. Since S contains all the places above 2 the Kummer sequence associated to the sheaf $\mathbb{G}_m$ yields a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S^*/(\mathcal{O}_S^*)^2 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_S)[2] \longrightarrow 0$$

More explicitly, an element of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ corresponds to a quadratic extension $K = k(\sqrt{a})$ which is unramified outside S. Consequently, the element $a \in k^*$, which is well-defined up to squares, must have even valuation at every place $v \notin S$. The map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_S)[2]$ is then given by sending the class of $k(\sqrt{a})$ to the class of $\frac{\mathrm{div}(a)}{2}$, where $\mathrm{div}(a)$ is the divisor of a when considered as a function on $\mathrm{spec}(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Let $I_a \subseteq \mathcal{O}_T$ be the ideal corresponding to the pullback of $\frac{\mathrm{div}(a)}{2}$ from $\mathcal{O}_S$ to $\mathcal{O}_T$. Then I_a is an ideal of norm (a) and we can form the $\mathcal{O}_S$-scheme $\mathcal{Z}^a$ parameterizing elements of I_a of norm a (such a scheme admits explicit affine equations locally on $\mathrm{spec}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ by choosing local generators for the ideal I_a). The scheme $\mathcal{Z}^a$ is a torsor under $\mathcal{T}$, and the classifying class of $\mathcal{Z}^a$ is the image of a in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{T})$. We note that such a scheme automatically has $\mathcal{O}_v$-points for every $v \notin S$. By definition the class in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ represented by a belongs to $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S)$ if and only if the torsor $\mathcal{Z}^a$ has local points over S, i.e., if and only if it has an S-integral **adelic point**.

We note that if a is such that $\mathrm{div}(a) = 0$ on $\mathrm{spec}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ (i.e., a is an S-unit), then $\mathcal{Z}^a$ is the scheme parameterizing T-units in K whose norm is a, and can be written as

$$x^2 - dy^2 = a. \tag{5}$$

Of course, this is always the case if one inverts all primes outside S. In particular, for every place $v \in S$, the base change of $\mathcal{Z}^a$ to k_v becomes isomorphic to 5 over k_v, and the scheme $\mathcal{Z}^a$ has a k_v-point if and only if the Hilbert pairing $\langle a, d \rangle_v \in H^2(k_v, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ vanishes. Finally, we note that if a is a divisor of d (so that in particular a is an S-unit), then the scheme $\mathcal{Z}^a$ coincides with the base change of the our scheme of interest $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ (see 3) from $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ to $\mathcal{O}_S$.

On the dual side, we may consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a uniquely divisible sheaf we get an identification

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{T}}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})).$$

To compute the latter, let $\mathcal{V} = \mathrm{R}_{\mathcal{O}_T/\mathcal{O}_S} \mathbb{A}^1$ be the Weil restriction of scalars of $\mathbb{A}^1$ and let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ be the algebraic torus

$$\mathcal{U} = \{x + y\sqrt{d} \in \mathcal{V} | x^2 - dy^2 \neq 0\} \cong R_{\mathcal{O}_T/\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathbb{G}_m).$$

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ denote the homomoprhism $\varphi(x + y\sqrt{d}) = \frac{x+y\sqrt{d}}{x-y\sqrt{d}}$. We then obtain a short exact sequence of algebraic tori over $\mathcal{O}_S$:

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 1$$

and consequently a short exact sequence of étale sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now the character sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ is (non-naturally) isomorphic to the cocharacter sheaf of $\mathcal{U}$, which, in turn, is naturally isomorphic to $f_*\mathbb{Z}$ where $f : \mathrm{spec}(\mathcal{O}_T) \longrightarrow \mathrm{spec}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ is the obvious map. By the projection formula we may then identify $\widehat{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ with $f_*\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and since f is a finite map we get that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_T, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Finally, since the map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is surjective we obtain an isomorphism

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{T}}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Ker}[H^1(\mathcal{O}_T, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{\mathrm{cores}}{\longrightarrow} H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})]$$

and hence an isomorphism

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{T}})[2] \cong \mathrm{Ker}[H^1(\mathcal{O}_T, \mathbb{Z}/2) \stackrel{\mathrm{cores}}{\longrightarrow} H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2)],$$

where in both cases cores denotes the relevant corestriction map. Under this isomorphism the map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_S, \widehat{\mathcal{T}})[2]$ is given by the restriction map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_T, \mathbb{Z}/2)$, i.e., with the map which sends a class $[a] \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ to the class of the quadratic extension $K(\sqrt{a})$. Note that indeed the corestriction to k of such a class is 0. The group $Ш^2(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)[2]$ can be identified with the subgroup of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_T, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ corresponding to everywhere unramified quadratic extensions of K which split over T and whose corestriction to $\mathcal{O}_S$ vanishes. We hence obtain the following explicit description of $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$:

Corollary 2.2.2. *Let $[a] \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ be a class represented by an element $a \in \mathcal{O}_S$ (such that $\mathrm{val}_v(a)$ is even for every $v \notin S$). Then $[a] \in \mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$ if and only if every place in T splits in $K(\sqrt{a})$.*

Corollary 2.2.3. *The kernel of the map $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S) \longrightarrow Ш^2(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$ has rank 1 and is generated by the class $[d] \in \mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$.*

Our proposed method of 2-descent is in essence just as way to calculate the 2-ranks of $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S)$ and $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$. When $k = \mathbb{Q}$ this method can be considered as a repackaging of Gauss' classical genus theory for computing the 2-torsion of the class groups of quadratic fields.

The notation introduced in the next few paragraphs follows the analogous notation of [7] and [4]. Let S' be any finite set of places containing S and such that $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{S'}) = 0$. For each $v \in S'$, let V_v and V^v denote two copies of $H^1(k_v, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong k_v^*/(k_v^*)^2$, considered as $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces. We will also denote $V_{S'} = \oplus_{v \in S'} V_v$ and $V^{S'} = \oplus_{v \in S'} V^v$. By taking the sum of the Hilbert symbol pairings

$$\langle , \rangle_v : V_v \times V^v \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \tag{6}$$

we obtain a non-degenerate pairing

$$\langle , \rangle_S : V_{S'} \times V^{S'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \tag{7}$$

Let $I_{S'}$ and $I^{S'}$ be two copies of the group $\mathcal{O}_{S'}^*/(\mathcal{O}_{S'}^*)^2 \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_{S'}, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Since S' contains all the real places and all the places above 2 and since $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{S'}) = 0$ it follows that natural localization maps

$$I_{S'} \hookrightarrow V_{S'}$$

$$I^{S'} \hookrightarrow V^{S'}$$

are injective. Furthermore, Tate-Poitou's sequence for the Galois module $\mathbb{Z}/2$ implies that $I_{S'}$ is the orthogonal complement of $I^{S'}$ with respect to 7, and vice versa.

For each $v \in S'$ we define a subspace $W^v \subseteq V^v$ as follows. If $v \in S$ then we let W^v be the subspace generated by the class $[d]$. If $v \in S' \setminus S$ then we let W^v be the image of $\mathcal{O}_v^*/(\mathcal{O}_v^*)^2$ inside $(k_v^*)/(k_v^*)^2$. In both cases we let $W_v \subseteq V_v$ be the orthogonal complement of W^v with respect to 6. We will denote by $W_{S'} = \oplus_{v \in S'} W_v$ and $W^{S'} = \oplus_{v \in S'} W^v$. By construction we see that $W_{S'}$ is the orthogonal complement of $W^{S'}$ with respect to 7 and vice versa.

Now consider the induced pairings

$$I_{S'} \times W^{S'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \tag{8}$$

and

$$W_{S'} \times I^{S'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \tag{9}$$

The 2-descent method for calculating the ranks of $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S)$ and $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$ can be neatly summarized in the following proposition (compare [4, Lemma 1.4.1]):

Proposition 2.2.4. *The Selmer group* $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S)$ *can be identified with each of the following groups:*

1. *The intersection* $I_{S'} \cap W_{S'}$.
2. *The left kernel of 8.*
3. *The left kernel of 9.*

Similarly, the dual Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$ *can be identified with each of the following groups:*

1. *The intersection* $I^{S'} \cap W^{S'}$.
2. *The right kernel of 8.*
3. *The right kernel of 9.*

Proof. Since $I_{S'}, I^{S'}$ are orothogonal complements of each other and $W_{S'}, W^{S'}$ are orthogonal complements of each other it will suffice to prove that $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S) = I_{S'} \cap W_{S'}$ and $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S) = I^{S'} \cap W^{S'}$. We begin by noting that since $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_{S'}) = 0$ the group $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ can be identified with the subgroup of $I_{S'}$ consisting of those elements $a \in I_{S'}$ whose valuations are even at every $v \in S' \setminus S$. This is equivalent to saying that the local image of a in V_v belongs to W_v for every

$v \in S' \setminus S$. Similarly, we may identify $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ with the subgroup of $I^{S'}$ consisting of those elements whose local image in V^v belongs to W^v for every $v \in S' \setminus S$.

Now, given an $a \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \subseteq I_{S'}$ and a place $v \in S$, the condition that the local image of a in V_v lies in W_v is by the definition the condition $\langle a, d \rangle_v = 0$, which is equivalent to the existence of a k_v-point on $\mathcal{Z}^a$. It follows that $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S) = I_{S'} \cap W_{S'}$.

On the dual side, given an element $a \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2) \subseteq I^{S'}$, the condition that the local image of a in V^v belongs to W^v for $v \in S$ is equivalent to the condition that the extension $K_w(\sqrt{a})$ splits, where w is the unique place of K lying above v. It hence follows that an element $a \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ lies in W^S if and only if every place of T splits in $K(\sqrt{a})$, and so by Corollary 2.2.2 we have $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_S) = I^{S'} \cap W^{S'}$ as desired. □

Remark 2.2.5. Let $S_{\mathrm{split}} \subseteq S_0$ denote the places of S_0 which split in K. By Dirichlet's unit theorem it follows that $\dim_2 I_{S'} = |S'|$ and by our construction it follows that $\dim_2 W^{S'} = |S'| - |S_{\mathrm{split}}|$. By Proposition 2.2.4 we may conclude that

$$\dim_2 \mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S) - \dim_2 \mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S) = \dim_2 I_{S'} - \dim_2 W^{S'} = |S_{\mathrm{split}}|.$$

2.3 Back to S_0-integral points

We shall now turn our attention to our motivating problem, and attempt to apply the above 2-descent formalism to obtain sufficient condition for the solubility in $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ of our equation of interest 3 (see Corollary 2.3.2 below). We begin with the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3.1. *Assume Condition 2.1.1 is satisfied and let $a|d$ be an element dividing d. Let $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$-scheme given by 3 and let $\mathcal{Z}^a = \mathcal{Z}_0^a \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}} \mathcal{O}_S$ be the corresponding base change. If $\mathcal{Z}^a$ has an S-integral point then $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ has an S_0-integral point.*

Proof. Let I_a be the $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}$-ideal generated by $a, \sqrt{d}$. We need to show that I_a admits a generator of norm a. Since a is an S-unit the existence of an S-integral point on $\mathcal{Z}^a$ is equivalent to the existence of a T-unit $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_T^*$ whose norm is a. It will hence suffice to show that such a β must lie in I_a. By Condition 2.1.1 and the construction of S we see that $\mathrm{val}_v(d) = 1$ for every $v \in S \setminus S_0$. It follows that every place $v \in S \setminus S_0$ is ramified in K. Let $S_a \subseteq S \setminus S_0$ be the subset of places where a has a positive valuation and let T_a be the set of places of K lying above S_a. Then $\mathcal{O}_{T_0}/I_a \cong \prod_{w \in T_a} \mathbb{F}_w$ and it will suffice to show that $\mathrm{val}_w(\beta) > 0$ for every $w \in T_a$. But this, in turn, is true because the norm of β is a. □

Corollary 2.3.2. *Assume Condition 2.1.1 is satisfied. If $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$ is generated by $[d]$ then for every $a|d$ the $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$-scheme $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ given by 3 satisfies the S_0-integral Hasse principle.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ has an S-integral adelic point. If $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)$ is generated by $[d]$ then $Ш^2(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S)[2] = 0$ and by the perfect pairing 4 we may deduce that $Ш^1(\mathcal{T}, S)[2] = 0$. Since $\mathcal{Z}_0^a$ has an S_0-integral adelic point the base change $\mathcal{Z}^a = \mathcal{Z}_0^a \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}} \mathcal{O}_S$ has an S-integral adelic point. The class of $\mathcal{Z}^a$ as a $\mathcal{T}$-torsor then lies in $Ш^1(\mathcal{T}, S)[2]$, and since the latter group vanishes it follows that $\mathcal{Z}^a$ has an S-integral point. The result now follows from Proposition 2.3.1. □

2.4 The weak and strict Selmer groups

In this subsection we shall describe a variant of the construction above, which we refer to as the **strict Selmer group** and the **weak dual Selmer group**. The advantage of these variants over the Selmer and dual Selmer groups is that their behaviour is easier to control over families of algebraic tori as those considered in §3.

Keeping the notation of §2.2, let $S_1 \subseteq S$ be a finite set of places, disjoint from S_0. Let $W_{S'}^- \subseteq W_{S'}$ be the subspace spanned by those tuples $(a_v)_{v \in S'}$ such that $\sum_{v \in S_1} \mathrm{val}_v\, a_v$ is even and let $W_+^{S'} \subseteq V^{S'}$ be the orthogonal complements of $W_{S'}^-$.

Definition 2.4.1. We define the **strict Selmer group** by

$$\mathrm{Sel}^-(\mathcal{T}, S, S_1) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} I_{S'} \cap W_{S'}^-$$

and the **weak dual Selmer group** by

$$\mathrm{Sel}^+(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S, S_1) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} I^{S'} \cap W_+^{S'}.$$

Remark 2.4.2. The left kernels of both $I_{S'} \times W_+^{S'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $W_{S'}^- \times I^{S'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ can be identified with the strict Selmer group. Similarly, the right kernels of these pairings can be identified with weak dual Selmer group.

Remark 2.4.3. Let $S_{\mathrm{split}} \subseteq S_0$ denote the places of S_0 which split in K. By Dirichlet's unit theorem it follows that $\dim_2 I_{S'} = |S'|$ and by our construction we have $\dim_2 W_+^{S'} = |S'| - |S_{\mathrm{split}}| + 1$. Using Remark 2.4.2 we may conclude that

$$\dim_2 \mathrm{Sel}^-(\mathcal{T}, S) - \dim_2 \mathrm{Sel}^+(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S) = \dim_2 I_{S'} - \dim_2 W_+^{S'} = |S_{\mathrm{split}}| - 1.$$

Remark 2.4.4. In light of Proposition 2.2.4 we have natural inclusions

$$\mathrm{Sel}^-(\mathcal{T}, S, S_1) \subseteq \mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}, S)$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S) \subseteq \mathrm{Sel}^+(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}, S, S_1)$$

whose cokernels are at most 1-dimensional. Furthermore, combining Remark 2.2.5 and Remark 2.4.3 we see that exactly one of these inclusions is an isomorphism.

3 Pencils of affine conics

In this section we will apply the 2-descent formalism of §2.2 in order to establish sufficient conditions for the existence of S-integral points on certain schemes which are fibered into affine conics. Our strategy is an adaptation of Swinnerton-Dyer's descent-fibration method. To obtain unconditional results we opted not to incorporate Schinzel's hypothesis in the proof, but rely instead on a theorem of Green, Tao and Ziegler (see §3.1). This requires, in particular, that we restrict our attention to the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers.

Let S_0 be a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing the real place. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a finite non-empty set of even size. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, let $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{S_0}$ be S_0-integral S_0-coprime elements such that

$$\Delta_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c_j d_i - c_i d_j \neq 0$$

for every $i \neq j$. Let us denote $p_i(t,s) = c_i t + d_i s$, and observe that $\Delta_{i,j} = p_j(d_i, -c_i)$. If $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ is a subset then we will denote $p_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s) = \prod_{j \in \mathcal{J}} p_j$.

We will denote by $\mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}$ the projective line over $\mathrm{spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S_0})$. Let us fix a partition $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ (i.e., $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset$) such that $|\mathcal{A}| = 2n$ and $|\mathcal{B}| = 2m$ are both even. Let $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}$ be the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}(-n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-m) \oplus \mathcal{O}(0)$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_S$ be non-zero S_0-integers and let $\overline{\mathcal{Y}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ be the closed subscheme determined by the equation

$$a p_{\mathcal{A}}(t,s) x^2 + b p_{\mathcal{B}}(t,s) y^2 = z^2. \tag{10}$$

(technically speaking, equation 10 determines subschemes of both $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})|_{\mathbb{P}^1_S \setminus \{0\}}$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})|_{\mathbb{P}^1_S \setminus \{\infty\}}$ which agree on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})|_{\mathbb{P}^1_S \setminus \{0,\infty\}}$.)

The scheme $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a conic bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$. The equation $z = 0$ determines a subscheme $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ which meets each smooth fiber of $\overline{\mathcal{Y}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}$ at two points. Hence the open subscheme $\mathcal{Y} = \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}$ is fibered over $\mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}$ into affine conics. More explicitly, if we let $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S$ be the vector bundle $\mathcal{O}(-n) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-m)$ then we may recover $\mathcal{Y}$ as the subvariety of $\mathcal{F}$ given by the equation

$$a p_{\mathcal{A}}(t,s) x^2 + b p_{\mathcal{B}}(t,s) y^2 = 1. \tag{11}$$

We will denote by $p : \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}$ the associated pencil of affine conics. In order to study S_0-integral points on $\mathcal{Y}$, it will be useful to introduce a closely related 3-dimensional scheme dominating $\mathcal{Y}$. Let $\mathbb{A}^2_{S_0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}$ be the natural projection and let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}} \mathbb{A}^2_{S_0}$. We will denote by $q : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ the projection on the first coordinate. We then observe that $\mathcal{X}$ can be identified with the subscheme of $\mathrm{spec}\, \mathbb{Z}_{S_0}[t,s,x,y]$ given by intersecting equation 11 with the condition $(t,s) \neq (0,0)$. If $n, m > 0$ then equation 11 already implies that $(t,s) \neq (0,0)$ and hence $\mathcal{X}$ is just the affine variety determined by 11. We note that $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0})$ can be identified with the set of tuples (t,s,x,y) of S_0-integers satisfying equation 11 and such that t, s are coprime in $\mathbb{Z}_{S_0}$. As mentioned above, if $n, m > 0$ then the last condition is automatic.

Remark 3.0.5. Let $S' = S_0 \cup \{2\}$. Then $\mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}} \mathcal{O}_{S'}$ is a smooth $\mathcal{O}_{S'}$-scheme and the map $p' : \mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}} \mathcal{O}_{S'} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{S'}$ is smooth.

Remark 3.0.6. Since $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0}) = 0$ and the map $q : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor it follows that the map

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0})$$

is surjective, and hence the existence of an S_0-integral point on $\mathcal{Y}$ is equivalent to the existence of an S_0-integral point on $\mathcal{Y}$. More explicitly, S_0-integral points of $\mathcal{Y}$ can be pararmeterized by tuples $(t, s, x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^4_{S_0}$ satisfying equation 11, and such that t, s are coprime in $\mathbb{Z}_{S_0}$. Furthermore, $(t, s, x, y), (t', s', x', y') \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_{S_0})$ map to the same point of $\mathcal{Y}$ if and only if there exists an S_0-unit $u \in \mathbb{Z}^*_{S_0}$ such that $(t', s', x, y) = (ut, us, u^{-n}x, u^{-m}y)$. A similar statement holds for k_v-points and $\mathcal{O}_v$-points of the associated local models.

We will denote by $\pi : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}$ the composed map $\pi = p \circ q$. We will denote by $X = \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{S_0}} \mathbb{Q}$, $Y = \mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{S_0}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $\overline{Y} = \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S_0}} k$ the respective base changes.

When $n = 1$ and $m = 0$, the surface Y is **log rationally connected** (see [Zh14]), and the question of integral points on $\mathcal{Y}$ is strongly related to the question of integral points affine 2-dimensional quadrics, as those studied in [9]. The next simplest case is when $n = m = 1$, in which case the surface Y is a **log K3 surface**. Let us recall the definition.

Definition 3.0.7. A smooth, geometrically integral surface Z over k is said to be a **log K3 surface** if Z is simply connected and there exists a smooth compactification $Z \subseteq \overline{Z}$ such that the divisor $D = \overline{Z} \setminus Z$ is a simple normal crossing divisor and the class $[D] \in \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{Z})$ is equal to the anti-canonical class of $\overline{Z}$.

Proposition 3.0.8. *If $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}| = 2$ then the surface Y is a log K3 surface.*

Proof. The smooth surface Y is contained in the smooth proper surface $\overline{Y}$, itself a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 fibered over $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ into conics. It is not hard to verify that the class of the divisor $D = \overline{Y} \setminus Y$ (given by $z = 0$) is the anti-canonical class of $\overline{Y}$. To show that Y is a log K3 surface it will hence suffice to show that Y is simply connected. In light of [13, Lemma 3.3.6] it will be enough to show that $\overline{Y} \otimes_k \overline{k}$ posses a rational curve L which meets D transversely in exactly one point. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be such that $\mathrm{disc}(\lambda a p_{\mathcal{A}} + \mu b p_{\mathcal{B}}) = 0$. Then there exists a linear homogeneous polynomial $ct + ds$ such that $\lambda a p_{\mathcal{A}} + \mu b p_{\mathcal{B}} = (ct + ds)^2$ with $c, d \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \longrightarrow \overline{Y} \otimes_k \overline{k}$ be the section of the map $\overline{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by $x = \sqrt{\lambda}, y = \sqrt{\mu}, z = ct + ds$. Then we may take L to be the image of f, which meets D transversely at the point $f(-d : c)$. □

Our goal in this section is to prove our main theorem, giving sufficient conditions for the surface $\mathcal{Y}$ to have an S_0-integral point (see Theorem 3.0.18). To phrase our theorem we will need establish some terminology.

Definition 3.0.9. Given a subset $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ we will denote by $\mathcal{J}^c = \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}$ the complement of $\mathcal{J}$ in $\mathcal{I}$.

Definition 3.0.10. Let $d = ab$. Given a subset $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ and an element $i \in \mathcal{I}$ we will denote by

$$D_i^{\mathcal{J}} = \begin{cases} p_{\mathcal{J}}(d_i, -c_i) & i \notin \mathcal{J} \\ dp_{\mathcal{J}^c}(d_i, -c_i) & i \in \mathcal{J} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\widehat{D}_i^{\mathcal{J}} = \begin{cases} p_{\mathcal{J}}(d_i, -c_i) & i \notin \mathcal{J} \\ -dp_{\mathcal{J}^c}(d_i, -c_i) & i \in \mathcal{J} \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.0.11. By definition we have $[D_i^{\mathcal{J}^c}] = [dD_i^{\mathcal{J}}] \in \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ and $[\widehat{D}_i^{\mathcal{J}^c}] = [-d\widehat{D}_i^{\mathcal{J}}] \in \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ for every $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ and $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Furthermore, $D_i^{\emptyset} = \widehat{D}_i^{\emptyset} = 1$ and $D_i^{\mathcal{I}} = -\widehat{D}_i^{\mathcal{I}} = d$.

Definition 3.0.12. We will denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the abelian group which is a direct sum of $\mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ and the $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space spanned by the symbols $[p_i]$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}$. We will denote elements in $\mathcal{G}$ **multiplicatively** as $[c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] = [c]\prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}}[p_i]$ where $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ is some subset and $c \in \mathbb{Q}^*$.

Remark 3.0.13. If $[p_{\mathcal{J}}] = [p_{\mathcal{J}'}] \cdot [p_{\mathcal{J}''}] \in \mathcal{G}$ then for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $[D_i^{\mathcal{J}}] = [D_i^{\mathcal{J}'}] \cdot [D_i^{\mathcal{J}''}] \in \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$. This follows from the identities $[p_{\mathcal{J}}] = [p_{(\mathcal{J}')^c}] \cdot [p_{(\mathcal{J}'')^c}]$ and $[p_{\mathcal{J}^c}] = [p_{(\mathcal{J}')^c}] \cdot [p_{\mathcal{J}''}] = [p_{\mathcal{J}'}] \cdot [p_{(\mathcal{J}'')^c}]$.

We shall now identify a few important subgroups of $\mathcal{G}$.

Definition 3.0.14. Let $i \in \mathcal{I}$ be an element. We will denote by $\mathcal{G}_i \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ the subgroup containing those elements $[c][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$ such that $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even and

$$\left[cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}\right] \in \left\{1, \left[aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}\right]\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2.$$

We will denote by $\mathcal{G}^i \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ the subspace of those elements $[c][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$ such that $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even and

$$\left[c\widehat{D}_i^{\mathcal{J}}\right] \in \left\{1, \left[aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}\right]\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2.$$

Finally, let us denote by $\mathcal{G}_D = \cap_{i\in\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{G}_i$ and $\mathcal{G}^D = \cap_{i\in\mathcal{I}}\mathcal{G}^i$.

Remark 3.0.15. It is not hard to verify that $\mathcal{G}_D$ and $\mathcal{G}^D$ are finite groups.

We are now ready to formulate our main condition on the $\Delta_{i,j}$. This condition is analogous to Condition (D) of [7] and [4], and we hence use the same name.

Assumption 3.0.16 (Condition (D))**.** *The group $\mathcal{G}_D$ is generated by $[a][p_{\mathcal{A}}]$ and $[d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$ and the group $\mathcal{G}^D$ is generated by $[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$.*

Before we state our main theorem let us recall the definition of the vertical Brauer group:

Definition 3.0.17. Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a map of varieties over a field k. The **vertical Brauer group** $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(X, f)$ is defined to be the intersection

$$\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(X, f) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Br}(X) \cap f^* \mathrm{Br}(k(Y)) \subseteq \mathrm{Br}(k(X))$$

We are now ready to state our main theorem.

Theorem 3.0.18. *Let S_0 be a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing ∞. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a non-empty set of even size and for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ let $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{S_0}$ be coprime elements such that $\Delta_{i,j} = c_i d_j - c_j d_i \neq 0$. Let a, b be non-zero S_0-integers such that condition (D) is satisfied. Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ be a partition into even sized subsets and let $\mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}$ be the pencil of affine conics given by 11. Let us set $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}} \mathbb{A}^2_{S_0}$ as above. Suppose there exists an S_0-integral adelic point $(P_v) = (t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A})$ such that*

1. *$\mathrm{val}_v(dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s)) \leq 1$ for every $v \notin S_0$ and $\mathrm{val}_2(dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s)) = 1$ if $2 \notin S_0$.*
2. *There exist two places $v^1_\infty, v^2_\infty \in S_0$ such that $-dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t_v, s_v)$ is a non-zero square in $\mathbb{Q}_{v_\infty}$ for $v = v^1_\infty, v^2_\infty$ (note that despite the notation v^1_∞ and v^2_∞ are not assumed to be infinite places, just places of S_0).*
3. *$q(P_v) \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{A}_{k,S_0})$ is orthogonal to $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(Y,p)$.*

Then there exists an S_0-integral point on $\mathcal{Y}$.

Remark 3.0.19. Let us give a brief remark concerning the conditions appearing in Theorem 3.0.18. As explained above, Condition (D) is an analogue of Condition (D) of [7] and [4]. It is a completely algebraic condition, and can be shown to imply that the 2-torsion $\mathrm{Br}(Y)[2]$ is contained in $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(Y,p)$, explaining to some extent the absence of any condition concerning non-vertical Brauer elements in Theorem 3.0.18. Condition (1) of Theorem 3.0.18 is strongly related to the fact that the 2-descent formalism developed in §2.2 requires to work with norm 1 tori associated to localizations of maximal orders. If one could extend arithmetic duality results to more general group schemes we expect this condition to become redundant. Finally, Condition (2) of Theorem 3.0.18 is a type of "splitness condition", where we want to insure the existence of certain local points on the divisor at infinity $\overline{Y} \setminus Y$. For a brief discussion of this idea, see [13, Definition 1.0.6, Definition 1.0.8].

The conditions of Theorem 3.0.18 are finitely verifiable, but are somewhat inexplicit. The following lemma identifies certain stronger conditions which are easier to check "by hand".

Lemma 3.0.20. *Consider the following conditions.*

1. *The subspace $V \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ spanned by $\{-1, a, b\} \cup \{[\Delta_{i,j}]\}_{i \neq j}$ attains its maximal dimension $3 + \binom{|\mathcal{I}|}{2}$. In other words, all the linear relations are spanned by $[\Delta_{i,j}] = [-1][\Delta_{j,i}]$.*
2. *For every $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset, \mathcal{I}$ and $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even, the subspace $V_{\mathcal{J}} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ spanned by $\{[aD_i^{\mathcal{J}}]\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ attains its maximal dimension $|\mathcal{I}| - 1$. In other words, the only non-trivial linear relation is $\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} [aD_i^{\mathcal{J}}] = 1$.*
3. *Condition (D) holds and $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(Y,p)/\mathrm{Br}(k) = 0$.*

Then we have (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ be such that $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset, \mathcal{I}$ and $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even. Let $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}$ be such that $\prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}}[D_i^{\mathcal{J}}]^{\varepsilon_i} = 1$. Since

$$\prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}}[aD_i^{\mathcal{J}}]^{\varepsilon_i} = \left[\prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}^c}[aD_i^{\mathcal{J}}]^{\varepsilon_i}\right]\left[\prod_{j\in\mathcal{J}}([bD_j^{\mathcal{J}^c}])^{\varepsilon_j}\right] = \left[\prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}^c}[a]^{\varepsilon_i}\right]\left[\prod_{j\in\mathcal{J}}[b]^{\varepsilon_j}\right]\left[\prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}^c,j\in\mathcal{J}}[\Delta_{i,j}]^{\varepsilon_i}[\Delta_{j,i}]^{\varepsilon_j}\right] =$$

$$\left[\prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}^c}[a]^{\varepsilon_i}\right]\left[\prod_{j\in\mathcal{J}}[b]^{\varepsilon_j}\right]\left[\prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}^c,j\in\mathcal{J}}[-1]^{\varepsilon_j}[\Delta_{i,j}]^{\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j}\right] = \left[\prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}^c}[a]^{\varepsilon_i}\right]\left[\prod_{j\in\mathcal{J}}[b]^{\varepsilon_j}\right]\left[\prod_{i\in\mathcal{J}^c,j\in\mathcal{J}}[\Delta_{i,j}]^{\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j}\right]$$

it follows from (1) that $\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j = 0$ for every $i \in \mathcal{J}^c, j \in \mathcal{J}$. Since $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset, \mathcal{I}$ we get that $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j$ for every $i \neq j \in \mathcal{I}$, and so the desired result follow.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let us first show that condition (D) is satisfied. Let $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in \mathcal{G}$ be such that $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even. By (2) we know that if $\mathcal{J} \neq \emptyset, \mathcal{I}$, then the values $\{[aD_i^{\mathcal{J}}]\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ are linearly independent, and so, in particular, the values $\{cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ are pairwise distinct. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{J} \in \{\emptyset, \mathcal{I}\}$ then $[cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}]$ is the same for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Now let $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$ be such that that $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even and $[cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}] \in \{1, [aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}]\}$ for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$. If $[cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}] = 1$ for two distinct i's then by the above we have $\mathcal{J} \in \{\emptyset, \mathcal{I}\}$, in which case either $x = [1]$ or $x = [d][p_{\mathcal{I}}]$. On the other hand, if $[cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}] = [aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}]$ for two distinct i's then by Remark 3.0.13 we may apply the above argument to $x[a][p_{\mathcal{A}}]$ and deduce that $x = [a][p_{\mathcal{A}}]$ or $x = [a][p_{\mathcal{A}}] \cdot [d][p_{\mathcal{I}}]$. Hence the only case left to consider is the case where $[cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}] = 1$ for exactly one i and $[cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}] = [aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}]$ for exactly one i. In this case $\mathcal{I}$ must be of size 2, and since $[cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}]$ is not constant we know by the above that $\mathcal{J}$ cannot be $\emptyset$ or $\mathcal{I}$. Fortunately, this case is excluded thanks to our assumption that $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even. We may hence conclude that Condition (D) is satisfied. It is left to show that $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(Y, p)$ contains only constant classes. But this follows from the explicit computation of the vertical Brauer group (see Proposition 3.2.5 below) since $\{[aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}]\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ spans a space of dimension $|\mathcal{I}| - 1$. □

Lemma 3.0.20 can be be used to offer the following explicit variant of Theorem 3.0.18.

Theorem 3.0.21. *Let S_0 be a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing ∞ and 2. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a non-empty set of even size and for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ let $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{S_0}$ be coprime elements such that $\Delta_{i,j} = c_i d_j - c_j d_i \neq 0$. Let a, b be non-zero S_0-integers such that Condition (1) of Lemma 3.0.20 holds. Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ be a partition into even sized subsets and let $\mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}$ be the pencil of affine conics given by 11. Assume that for every place $v \notin S_0$ such that either $|v| < |\mathcal{I}|$ or $v|d$, there exists a point $(t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ such that $\mathrm{val}_v(dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t_v, s_v)) \leq 1$. Then $\mathcal{Y}$ has an S_0-integral point.*

Proof of Theorem 3.0.21 assuming Theorem 3.0.18. By Lemma 3.0.20 we know that Condition (D) holds. Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}} \mathbb{A}^2_{S_0}$. We shall now construct an

adelic point $(P_v) = (t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{A}_{S_0})$ satisfying Conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.0.18. By Lemma 3.0.20 we have $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(Y,p)/\mathrm{Br}(k) = 0$ and hence condition (3) holds automatically.

Since $d \neq 0$ and the polynomial $p_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s)$ is separable the equation $z^2 = -dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s)$ defines a smooth hyperelliptic C curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $p_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s)$ has roots in $\mathbb{Q}$ this hyperelliptic curves has $\mathbb{Q}$-points, and hence $\mathbb{Q}_v$-points for every place v. It follows that $C(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ is infinite for every v, and hence we can choose, for every $v \in S_0$, elements $t_v, s_v \in \mathbb{Q}_v$ such that $-dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t_v, s_v)$ is a non-zero square. We then have that $\langle ap_{\mathcal{A}}(t_v,s_v), bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t_v,s_v)\rangle = 0$ and hence the fiber $X_{(t_v,s_v)}$ has a $\mathbb{Q}_v$-point. Choosing the S_0-components of our adelic point (P_v) in this way, and using the fact that S_0 contains at least two places (∞ and 2), we may insure that (P_v) will satisfy condition (2) of Theorem 3.0.18.

Let us now choose the components of (P_v) for $v \notin S_0$. If $|v| < |\mathcal{I}|$ or $v|d$ then our assumptions guarantee the existence of a suitable local point. Now let $v \notin S_0$ be such that $|v| \geq |\mathcal{I}|$ and v does not divide d. Then $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_v)$ has more than $|\mathcal{I}|$ points and so there must exist a pair $t_v, s_v \in \mathcal{O}_v$ such that $\mathrm{val}_v(ap_{\mathcal{A}}(t_v,s_v)) = \mathrm{val}_v(bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t_v,s_v)) = 0$. Since $2 \in S_0$ we have that v is odd and hence $\langle ap_{\mathcal{A}}(t_v,s_v), bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t_v,s_v)\rangle = 0$ and the fiber $X_{(t_v,s_v)}$ has an $\mathcal{O}_v$-point. Furthermore, by construction the t_v, s_v coordinates of this point satisfy $\mathrm{val}_v(dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t_v,s_v)) = 0$. □

Finally, when $|\mathcal{I}| \leq 4$, an additional simplification is possible.

Theorem 3.0.22. *Let S_0 be a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing ∞ and 2. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a non-empty set of even size ≤ 4 and for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ let $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{S_0}$ be coprime elements such that $\Delta_{i,j} = c_i d_j - c_j d_i \neq 0$. Let a, b be **square-free** S_0-integers such that Condition (1) of Lemma 3.0.20 holds. Let $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ be a partition into even sized subsets and let $\mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{S_0}$ be the pencil of affine conics given by 11. If d is not divisible by any prime in $\{3,5\} \cap (\Omega_k \setminus S_0)$ then $\mathcal{Y}$ satisfies the S_0-integral Hasse principle.*

Remark 3.0.23. To the knowledge of the author, when $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}| = 2$, Theorem 3.0.22 gives the first family of non-proper log K3 surfaces for which the S_0-integral Hasse principle is established.

Proof of Theorem 3.0.22 assuming Theorem 3.0.21. Assume that $\mathcal{Y}$ has an S_0-integral adelic point. We need to show that the assumptions of Theorem 3.0.21 are satisfied. First let $v \notin S_0$ be a place such that $v|d$. Since $d = ab$ is square-free we have either $v|a$ or $v|b$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $v|a$ and $v \not| b$. Note that by our assumption $|v| > 5$. Now consider the (possibly singular) curve C over $\mathbb{F}_v$ given by the hyperellliptic equation $bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t,s) = u^2$. Since a reduces to 0 mod v we see that the $\mathbb{F}_v$-points of Y are in bijection with the subset of $\mathbb{F}_v$-point of C for which $u \neq 0$. We note that our assumptions imply that Y contains $\mathbb{F}_v$-points. Our goal now is to show that Y contains an $\mathbb{F}_v$-point (t,s,x,y) such that $p_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_v$. This, in turn, would imply that $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ contains a point (t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v) such that $\mathrm{val}_v\, dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s) = 1$, since all $\mathbb{F}_v$-point of $\mathcal{Y}$ are smooth, and the map $\mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_v$ is smooth over $\mathcal{O}_v$ (see Remark 3.0.5). Let us consider the possible cases:

1. If $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{I}$ then $p_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s) = p_{\mathcal{B}}(t,s)$ and since $v|a$ any $\mathbb{F}_v$-point (t,s,x,y) of $\mathcal{Y}$ will necessarily satisfy $p_{\mathcal{B}}(t,s) \neq 0$.

2. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \emptyset$ then b must be a square at $\mathbb{F}_v$ (since $\mathcal{Y}$ has an $\mathbb{F}_v$-point) and $\mathcal{Y}_{(t:s)}(\mathbb{F}_v) \neq \emptyset$ for any $(t:s) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_v)$. In this case we just need to show that there exist $t, s \in \mathbb{F}_v$ such that $p_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s) \neq 0$, which follows from the fact that $|v| \geq |\mathcal{I}|$.

3. If $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$ then necessarily $|\mathcal{I}| = 4$ and $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}| = 2$. Let us consider two sub-cases. If $p_{\mathcal{B}}$ is separable, then the curve C is a smooth conic, and hence has at least $|v| + 1 > 6$ points. It then must have point $(t,s,u) \in C(\mathbb{F}_v)$ such that $p_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s) \neq 0$ (and automatically $u \neq 0$). If $p_{\mathcal{B}}$ is not separable then the value $bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t,s)$ is either always a square or always a non-square. Since $\mathcal{Y}$ has an $\mathbb{F}_v$-point it follows that $bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t,s)$ is always a square, and so $\mathcal{Y}_{(t:s)}(\mathbb{F}_v) \neq \emptyset$ for every $(t:s) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_v)$. In this case we just need to show that there exist $t, s \in \mathbb{F}_v$ such that $p_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s) \neq 0$, which follows from the fact that $|v| \geq |\mathcal{I}|$.

It is left to show that if $v \in S_0$ is such that $|v| \leq |\mathcal{I}|$ then $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ contains a point (t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v) such that $\mathrm{val}_v\, dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s) = 1$. Since $|\mathcal{I}| \leq 4$ and $2 \in S_0$ the only possible prime is $v = 3$, and we may assume that $|\mathcal{I}| = 4$. By our assumption 3 does not divide d. Let us now consider two possible cases. If $p_{\mathcal{J}}$ does not reduce to a multiple of $ts(t-s)(t+s)$ mod 3 then there exist $t, s \in \mathbb{F}_3$ such that $p_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s) \neq 0$. We may consequently find $t_3, s_3 \in \mathcal{O}_3$ such that $\mathrm{val}_v\, dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_3, s_3) = 0$, in which case $\langle ap_{\mathcal{A}}(t,s), bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t,s)\rangle = 0$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{(t_3:s_3)}(\mathcal{O}_v) \neq \emptyset$. Now assume that $p_{\mathcal{J}}$ does reduce to a multiple of $ts(t-s)(t+s)$. Let $(t,s,x,y) \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{F}_3)$ be an $\mathbb{F}_3$-point. Since $p_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s) = 0$ we have either $p_{\mathcal{A}}(t,s) = 0$ or $p_{\mathcal{B}}(t,s) = 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $p_{\mathcal{A}}(t,s) = 0$. In this case $bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t,s)$ must be a square in $\mathbb{F}_3$. Since $p_{\mathcal{A}}$ is separable (being a divisor of $p_{\mathcal{J}}$) we may find $t_3, s_3 \in \mathcal{O}_3$, reducing to t, s mod 3, and such that $\mathrm{val}_3\, p_{\mathcal{A}}(t_3, s_3) = 1$. We now have that $bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t_3, s_3)$ is a unit and a square in $\mathcal{O}_3$ and hence $\mathcal{Y}_{(t_3:s_3)}(\mathcal{O}_3) \neq \emptyset$. □

The following subsections are dedicated to the proof of Theorem 3.0.18.

3.1 A Theorem of Green, Tao and Ziegler

In a series of papers by Green-Tao and Green-Tao-Ziegler, the finite complexity case of the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture was proven (see [10, Corollary 1.9] for an exact statement). The theorem below is a fairly straightforward consequence of that result, and will be used in this paper in place of Schinzel's hypothesis.

Theorem 3.1.1 (Green, Tao, Ziegler)**.** *Let S be a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing the real place. Let r be a positive number and let $f_i(t,s)$ for $i = 1, .., r$ be pairwise non-proportional linear forms with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_S$. Assume that for every $v \notin S$ there exists a pair $(t_v, s_v) \in \mathbb{Z}_v^2$ such that $\prod_i f_i(t_v, s_v) \in \mathbb{Z}_v^*$.*

Suppose that we are given pairs $(\lambda_v, \mu_v) \in \mathbb{Q}_v^2$ for every $v \in S$. Then there exist infinitely many pairs $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}_S^2$ such that

1. *(λ, μ) is arbitrarily close to (λ_v, μ_v) in the v-adic topology for $v \in S \setminus \{\infty\}$.*

2. *$(\lambda : \mu)$ is arbitrarily close to $(\lambda_\infty : \mu_\infty)$ in the real topology (on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$) and $\lambda\lambda_v + \mu\mu_v > 0$.*

3. *For $i = 1, \ldots, r$ we have $f_i(\lambda, \mu) = p_i u_i$ such that $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}_S^*$ and $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ are distinct primes outside S.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{Q}^2$ is dense inside $\mathbb{R}^2$ it is enough to prove the claim under the additional assumption that $t_\infty, s_\infty \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $T : \mathbb{Q}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^2$ be an invertible linear transformation sending (t_v, s_v) to $(1, 0)$. Let S' be a finite set of places containing S such that all the coefficients of T are S'-integers, the determinant of T is an S'-unit and $f_i(t_\infty, s_\infty)$ is an S'-unit for every $i = 1, ..., r$. By our assumptions we may find, for each $v \in S' \setminus S$, a pair $t_v, s_v \in \mathcal{O}_v$ such that $\prod_i f_i(t_v, s_v) \in \mathbb{Z}_v^*$. Setting $(\lambda_v, \mu_v) = (t_v, s_v)$ for such $v \in S' \setminus S$ it will now suffice to prove the theorem for S' instead of S. Since T induces an invertible $\mathbb{Z}_{S'}$-linear transformation $\mathbb{Z}_{S'}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{S'}^2$ we may perform a variable change and replace each (t_v, s_v) by $(t'_v, s'_v) = T(t_v, s_v)$ and each f_i by $f'_i = f_i \circ T^{-1}$. In particular, we have $(t'_\infty, s'_\infty) = (1, 0)$. Since $f'_i(1, 0) = f_i(t_\infty, s_\infty)$ is an S'-unit we may furthermore divide each f'_i by $f'_i(1, 0)$, resulting in a linear form $t - e_i s$ for some S'-integer e_i. We are now in a position to apply [15, Proposition 1.2], itself a direct consequence of the Green-Tao-Ziegler theorem. □

3.2 The vertical Brauer groups

In this subsection we prove a few useful claims regarding the vertical Brauer groups of X and Y. Our main results are Propositions 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 below. We begin with a few preliminaries.

Definition 3.2.1. Let $S_{\text{bad}} \subseteq \Omega_{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus S_0$ denote the set of places outside S_0 for which either $v | \Delta_{i,j}$ for some $i \neq j$, or $v | d$, or v is the prime 2, or $\text{val}_v(p_{\mathcal{J}}(t_v, s_v)) > 0$ for every $t_v, s_v \in \mathcal{O}_v$.

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let $v \notin S_0 \cup S_{\text{bad}}$ be a place, $t_v, s_v \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ elements such that at least one of t_v, s_v is a unit and $i \in \mathcal{I}$ an element such that $\text{val}_v(p_i(t_v, s_v)) > 0$. Then the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{(t_v, s_v)}(\mathbb{Z}_v)$ is non-empty if and only if $aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}$ is a square at v.*

Proof. By our assumptions on t_v, s_v there exists a unit $u \in \mathbb{Z}_v^*$ such that $(t_v, s_v) = (ud_i, -uc_i)$ mod the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_v \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_v$. Let us first assume that $i \in \mathcal{A}$. Then by definition

$$aD_i^{\mathcal{A}} = bp_{\mathcal{B}}(d_i, -c_i)$$

and so $bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t_v, s_v) = |u|^{|\mathcal{B}|} aD_i^{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{Z}_v^*$. On the other hand, since $i \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $ap_{\mathcal{A}}(t_v, s_v) = 0$ mod m_v and by Hensel's lemma we get that $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_v) \neq 0$ if and only if $bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t_v, s_v) \in \mathbb{Z}_v^*$ is a square. The desired result now follows from the fact that $|\mathcal{B}|$ is even. The case where $i \notin \mathcal{A}$ is completely analogous. □

Definition 3.2.3. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ let

$$A_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\langle aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}, p_i(t,s) \right\rangle \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$$

be the corresponding Brauer element.

Lemma 3.2.4. *Let $v \notin S_0 \cup S_{\mathrm{bad}}$ be a place of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ the Brauer element A_i pairs trivially with every point of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)$.*

Proof. Let $v \notin S_0 \cup S_{\mathrm{bad}}$ be a place and $P_v = (t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ a local point. Since the element $aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}$ is a v-unit we see that $A_i(P_v)$ is non-trivial if and only if $aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}$ is a non-square and $p_i(t,s)$ has an odd (and hence positive) valuation. But this is impossible in light of Lemma 3.2.2 once the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{(t_v,s_v)}$ has an $\mathcal{O}_v$-point. □

We are now ready to prove two key results concerning the vertical Brauer groups of X and Y.

Proposition 3.2.5.

1. *The natural map $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(Y,p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(X,\pi)$ is an isomorphism.*
2. *The group $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(X,\pi)/\mathrm{Br}(k)$ is generated by the classes of the elements $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \varepsilon_i A_i$ such that $\prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \left(aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}\right)^{\varepsilon_i}$ is a square.*
3. *The class of $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} A_i$ in $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(X,\pi)/\mathrm{Br}(k)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let us begin with Claim (1). Since the fibers of $q : X \longrightarrow Y$ are geometrically irreducible it follows that for every codimension 1 point $P \in Y$ the map $H^1(k(P), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(k(X_P), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is injective. We may then conclude that the map $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(Y,p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(X,\pi)$ is surjective. To show that it is also injective consider the generic point $Q \in Y$. Since X is a $\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor over Y it follows that the generic fiber X_Q has a point defined over $k(Q)$. This implies that the map $\mathrm{Br}(k(Q)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X_Q)$ is injective and hence the map $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(Y,p) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(X,\pi)$ is injective as well.

Let us now prove Claim (2). Recall the projective conic bundle $\overline{p} : \overline{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_k$. Since elements in $\pi^* \mathrm{Br}(k(\mathbb{P}^1_k))$ cannot ramify along $\overline{Y} \setminus Y$ it follows that the map $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(\overline{Y}, \overline{p}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(Y,p)$ is an isomorphism. Since $\overline{p} : \overline{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_k$ is a conic bundle the computation of $\mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(Y,p)$ is standard (see [22, §7]). For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ let $P_i \in \mathbb{P}^1$ be the closed point given by $p_i = 0$. Then the bad fibers of $\overline{Y}$ are exactly the P_i's, and for each P_i the fiber $\overline{Y}_{P_i}$ splits in the quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}}\right)$ (but not in $\mathbb{Q}$). It follows that if $B \in \mathrm{Br}(k(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is a Brauer element then $p_{\overline{Y}}^*(B) \in \mathrm{Br}(k(\overline{Y}))$ is unramified along $\overline{Y}$ if and only if the element B is unramified outside $\{P_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ and for each i we have

$$\mathrm{res}(B)|_{P_i} = \varepsilon_i [aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}] \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

with $\varepsilon_i \in \{0,1\}$. By Faddeev's exact sequence such elements exist if and only if $\prod_i \left(aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}\right)^{\varepsilon_i}$ is a square. Furthermore, if $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ satisfies this condition then it is straightforward to check that the Brauer element

$$B = \sum_i \varepsilon_i \left\langle aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}, p_i\left(t/s,1\right)\right\rangle \in \mathrm{Br}(k(\mathbb{P}^1))$$

has the desired residues. Claim (2) now follows directly.

It is left to prove Claim (3). By comparing residues and using Fadeev's exact sequence we may deduce the existence of an element $B \in \mathrm{Br}(k)$ such that

$$\sum_i \left\langle aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}, p_i(t/s,1)\right\rangle = \left\langle ap_{\mathcal{A}}(t/s,1), bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t/s,1)\right\rangle + B \in \mathrm{Br}(k(\mathbb{P}^1)).$$

Since $\pi^*\left\langle ap_{\mathcal{A}}(t/s,1), bp_{\mathcal{B}}(t/s,1)\right\rangle = 0 \in \mathrm{Br}(k(X))$ the desired result follows. □

Let T be a finite set of places containing S_0. By a **partial adelic point** $(P_v)_{v\in T} = (t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v)_{v\in T}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ we will mean a point in the product

$$(P_v)_{v\in T} \in \prod_{v\in S_0} X(\mathbb{Q}_v) \times \prod_{v\in T\,\setminus\, S_0} \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_v).$$

Proposition 3.2.6. *Let $(t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v)_{v\in T}$ be a partial adelic point on $\mathcal{X}$ which is orthogonal to all Brauer elements of the form $B = \sum_i n_i A_i$ such that $B \in \mathrm{Br}^{\mathrm{vert}}(X,\pi)$. Then there exists an element $q \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ which is a unit at every place $v \in T$ and such that the partial adelic point $(qt_v, qs_v, q^{-n}x_v, q^{-m}y_v)$ is orthogonal to A_i for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ let us set

$$b_i = \sum_{v\in T} A_i(P_v) = \sum_{v\in T} \left\langle aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}, p_i(t_v, s_v)\right\rangle_v \in \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

By our assumptions and Proposition 3.2.5 we know that whenever $\{n_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ are such that $\prod_i \left(aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}\right)^{n_i}$ is a square, the corresponding sum $\sum_i n_i b_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ vanishes. By Chabotarev's theorem there exists a place $w \notin T$ such that $aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}$ is a square at w if and only if $b_i = 0$. Let q be a prime which is a uniformizer at w (and so, in particular, q is a unit at every place of T). By quadratic reciprocity we then have

$$\sum_{v\in T} \left\langle aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}, q\right\rangle_v = \left\langle aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}, q\right\rangle_w = b_i$$

and hence by construction the partial adelic point $(qt_v, qs_v, q^{-n}x_v, q^{-m}y_v)_{v\in T}$ is orthogonal to A_i for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$. □

3.3 Suitable adelic points

Construction 3.3.1. For each $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in \mathcal{G}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_D$, let us fix an $i \neq i_x \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\left[cD_{i_x}^{\mathcal{J}}\right] \notin \left\{1, \left[aD_{i_x}^{\mathcal{A}}\right]\right\}$. By Chabotarev's theorem we may then find

a place $v_x \notin S_0 \cup S_{\mathrm{bad}}$ such that $aD^{\mathcal{A}}_{i_x}$ is a square at v_x and yet $cD^{\mathcal{J}}_{i_x}$ is a non-square at v_x. Let $t_x, s_x \in \mathbb{Z}_{v_x}$ be such that $p_{i_x}(t_x, s_x)$ is a uniformizer. By Lemma 3.2.2 the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{(t_x,s_x)}$ contains an $\mathbb{Z}_{v_x}$-point. Choosing for each i and each $x \in \mathcal{G}_i \setminus \mathcal{G}_D$ a place v_x as above we obtain a finite set of places which we denote S_D.

Let us now fix our set of distinguished primes to be $S = S_0 \cup S_{\mathrm{bad}} \cup S_D$.

Definition 3.3.2. Let T be a finite set of places containing S. We will say that a partial adelic point $(P_v)_{v\in T}$ is **suitable** if the following conditions hold

1. $dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_v, s_v) \neq 0$ for every $v \in T$.
2. $\mathrm{val}_v(dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_v, s_v) \leq 1$ for every $v \in T \setminus S_0$.
3. If $2 \in T \setminus S_0$ then $\mathrm{val}_2(dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_v, s_v) = 1$.
4. There exist two places $v^1_\infty, v^2_\infty \in S_0$ such that $-dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_v, s_v) \in \mathbb{Q}^*_v$ is a square for $v \in \{v^1_\infty, v^2_\infty\}$.
5. $\sum_v A_i(P_v) = 0$ for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$ (see Definition 3.2.3).
6. For every $v_x \in S_D$ the point $P_v \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ lies above the point $(t_x, s_x) \in \mathbb{A}^2(\mathcal{O}_{v_x})$ of Construction 3.3.1.

Definition 3.3.3. Let T be a finite set of places containing S and let $(P_v)_{v\in T} = (t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v)_{v\in T}$ be a suitable partial adelic point on $\mathcal{X}$. We will denote by $T_0 \subseteq T$ the set of places which are either in S_0, or for which $\mathrm{val}_v(dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_v, s_v)) = 1$.

Remark 3.3.4. Let T be a finite set of places containing S and let $(P_v)_{v\in T} = (t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v)_{v\in T}$ be a suitable partial adelic point on $\mathcal{X}$. By Property (3) of Definition 3.3.2 we see that T_0 always contains the prime 2.

Proposition 3.3.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.0.18, for every finite set of places T containing S there exists a suitable partial adelic point $(P_v)_{v\in T}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ indexed by T.*

Proof. The assumptions of Theorem 3.0.18 provide an adelic point satisfying properties (2)-(4) of Definition 3.3.2. A small deformation can be made to guarantee property (1) and Proposition 3.2.6 can be used to provide (5). Finally, property (6) can be achieved without disrupting (5) in light of Lemma 3.2.4. □

3.4 Fibers with points everywhere locally

Definition 3.4.1. Given an element $x \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ we will denote by $[x]_v \in \mathbb{Q}^*_v/(\mathbb{Q}^*_v)^2$ the equivalence class of the image of x in $\mathbb{Q}^*_v$.

Definition 3.4.2. Given a set of places T containing S, and a suitable partial adelic point $(P_v)_{v\in T} = (t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v)_{v\in T}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, we will say that a pair $(t, s) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{S_0}$ is **T-admissible** (with respect to (P_v)) if

1. $[p_i(t,s)]_v = [p_i(t_v,s_v)]_v \in \mathbb{Q}_v^*/(\mathbb{Q}_v^*)^2$ for every $v \in T$.

2. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $p_i(t,s)$ is a unit outside T except at exactly one place u_i where $\mathrm{val}_{u_i}(p_i(t,s)) = 1$.

3. The fiber $\mathcal{X}_{(t,s)}$ has an S_0-integral adelic point.

By abuse of notation we will often omit the explicit reference to (P_v).

Definition 3.4.3. Given a T-admissible pair (t,s) we will denote by $T(t,s) = T \cup \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ and by $T_0(t,s) = T_0 \cup \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ (see Definition 3.3.3). We will denote by $\mathcal{T}_{(t,s)}$ the group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_{T_0(t,s)}$ given by the equation

$$x^2 + dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s)y^2 = 1$$

Remark 3.4.4. Keeping the notation of Definition 3.4.3, we note the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{(t,s)}$ can be identified with the scheme $\mathbb{Z}_{S_0}$-scheme $\mathcal{Z}_0^{ap_{\mathcal{A}}(t,s)}$ studied in §2. If we extend our scalars from $\mathbb{Z}_{S_0}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{T_0(t,s)}$ then we may consider $\mathcal{X}_{(t,s)}$ as a torsor under the algebraic torus $\mathcal{T}_{(t,s)}$. Since $(P_v)_{v\in T}$ is suitable it follows that the element $-dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s)$ satisfies Assumption 2.1.1. Proposition 2.3.1 now implies that $\mathcal{X}_{(t,s)}$ has an S_0-integral point if and only if it has a $T_0(t,s)$-integral point.

The following proposition ensures the existence of sufficient supply of T-admissible pairs. It can be considered as an instance of the **fibration method**.

Proposition 3.4.5. *Let T be a finite set of places containing S and let $(P_v)_{v\in T} = (t_v, s_v, x_v, y_v)_{v\in T}$ be a suitable partial adelic point on $\mathcal{X}$. Then there exists an T-admissible pair (t,s) with respect to (P_v).*

Proof. Applying the Theorem 3.1.1 we may find a pair $(t,s) \in \mathbb{Z}_T^2$ and places u_i for $i \in \mathcal{I}$ satisfying conditions (1)-(2) of Definition 3.4.2. By the inverse function theorem the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{(t,s)}$ has an $\mathbb{Z}_v$-point for every $v \in T$. It also clearly has an $\mathbb{Z}_v$-point for every $v \notin T \cup \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$. Now fix an $i \in \mathcal{I}$. By quadratic reciprocity and using Property (5) of Definition 3.3.2 we get that

$$\left\langle aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}, p_i(t,s)\right\rangle_{u_i} = \sum_{v\in T}\left\langle aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}, p_i(t_v,s_v)\right\rangle_v = 0.$$

Since $p_i(t,s)$ is a uniformizer at u_i and $aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}$, is a unit at u_i it follows that $aD_i^{\mathcal{A}}$ is a square at u_i and so the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{(t,s)}$ has an $\mathbb{Z}_{u_i}$-point by Lemma 3.2.2. □

3.5 The Selmer groups of admissible fibers

Given a T-admissible pair (t,s), we wish to control the associated Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{T}_{(t,s)}, T_0(t,s))$ and dual Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{(t,s)}, T_0(t,s))$ of the group scheme $\mathcal{T}_{(t,s)}$ with respect to the set of places $T_0(t,s)$. This turns out to be difficult to achieve directly. The problem is that this group depends on the various Hilbert symbols $\langle p_j(t,s), p_i(t,s)\rangle_{u_i}$, which we cannot fully control when generating our T-admissible pairs via Proposition 3.4.5. To overcome this difficulty we will

replace the Selmer group with the **strict Selmer group** and the dual Selmer group with the **weak dual Selmer group** (see §2.4). It turns out that these variants are in fact **independent** of the specific T-admissible pair we choose, and only depend on the original suitable adelic point $(P_v)_{v\in T}$.

Definition 3.5.1. Let T be a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing S. We will denote by $J_T \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ (resp. $J^T \subseteq \mathcal{G}$) the subgroup generated by I_T (resp. I^T) and the symbols $[p_i]$ (see §2.2). For a T-admissible pair (t,s), we will denote by $\mathrm{ev}_{(t,s)} : J_T \longrightarrow I_{T(t,s)}$ the natural map obtained by sending $[p_i]$ to $[p_i(t,s)]$. We similarly define the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}^{(t,s)} : J^T \longrightarrow I^{T(t,s)}$. By our assumptions on T the maps $\mathrm{ev}_{(t,s)}$ and $\mathrm{ev}^{(t,s)}$ are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces.

Now let (t,s) be a T-admissible pair. Let is recall the notation used in §2.2. For each $v \in T(t,s)$, let V_v and V^v denote two copies of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Q}_v^*/(\mathbb{Q}_v^*)^2$, considered as $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces. For each $v \in T(t,s)$ let $W^v(t,s) \subseteq V^v$ be the subspace defining the dual Selmer condition at v for the $\mathcal{O}_{T_0(t,s)}$-group scheme $\mathcal{T}_{(t,s)}$. More explicitly, if $v \in T_0(t,s)$ then $W^v(t,s)$ is the subspace generated by the class $[-dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s)]$, and if $v \in T(t,s) \setminus T_0(t,s)$ then $W^v(t,s)$ is the image of $\mathbb{Z}_v^*/(\mathbb{Z}_v^*)^2$ inside V^v. In both cases, the subspace $W_v(t,s) \subseteq V_v$ defining the Selmer condition of $\mathcal{T}_{t,s}$ at v is given by the orthogonal complement of $W^v(t,s)$ with respect to the Hilbert symbol pairing.

Definition 3.5.2. We will denote by $\mathcal{Q}_{(t,s)} \subseteq J_T$ the inverse image of the **strict Selmer group** $\mathrm{Sel}^-(\mathcal{T}_{(t,s)}, T_0(t,s), \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}})$ via $\mathrm{ev}_{(t,s)}$ and by $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t,s)} \subseteq J^T$ the inverse image of the **weak dual Selmer group** $\mathrm{Sel}^+(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{(t,s)}, T_0(t,s), \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}})$ via $\mathrm{ev}^{(t,s)}$ (see §2.4). We note that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{(t,s)} \cong \mathrm{Sel}^-(\mathcal{T}_{(t,s)}, T_0(t,s), \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}})$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t,s)} \cong \mathrm{Sel}^+(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{(t,s)}, T_0(t,s), \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}).$$

Lemma 3.5.3. *Let T be a finite set of places of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing S, let $\{P_v\}_{v\in T}$ be a suitable partial adelic point and let (t,s) be a T-admissible pair with respect to $\{P_v\}_{v\in T}$. Let $i \in \mathcal{I}$ be an element and let $v \in T_0(t,s)$, $v \notin S_0 \cup S_{\mathrm{bad}}$ be an odd place such that $(t,s) = (ud_i, -uc_i)$ mod $\mathfrak{m}_v$ for some $u \in \mathbb{Z}_v^*$. Then for $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in J_T$ we have*

$$[cu^{|\mathcal{J}|}D_i^{\mathcal{J}}]_v = \begin{cases} [\mathrm{ev}_{(t,s)}(x)]_v & i \notin \mathcal{J} \\ [\mathrm{ev}_{(t,s)}(x[d][p_{\mathcal{J}}])]_v & i \in \mathcal{J} \end{cases}$$

and $\mathrm{ev}_{(t,s)}(x) \in W_v(t,s)$ if and only if $[cu^{|\mathcal{J}|}D_i^{\mathcal{J}}]_v = 0$. Similarly, for $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in J^T$ we have

$$[cu^{|\mathcal{J}|}\widehat{D}_i^{\mathcal{J}}]_v = \begin{cases} [\mathrm{ev}_{(t,s)}(x)]_v & i \notin \mathcal{J} \\ [\mathrm{ev}_{(t,s)}(x[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}])]_v & i \in \mathcal{J} \end{cases}$$

and $\mathrm{ev}^{(t,s)}(x) \in W^v(t,s)$ if and only if $[cu^{|\mathcal{J}|}\widehat{D}_i^{\mathcal{J}}]_v = 0$.

Proof. Since $v \notin S_0 \cup S_{\mathrm{bad}}$ we have

$$\mathrm{val}_v(p_j(t,s)) = \mathrm{val}_v\, p_j(d_i, -c_i) = \mathrm{val}_v\, d_{i,j} = 0$$

for every $j \neq i$, while by our assumptions we have $\mathrm{val}_v(p_i(t,s)) > 0$. Since $\{P_v\}_{v\in T}$ is suitable and (t,s) is T-admissible we have $\mathrm{val}_v\, dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s) \leq 1$ and hence $\mathrm{val}_v\, p_i(t,s) = \mathrm{val}_v\, dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s) = 1$. It follows that the v-valuation of $\mathrm{ev}_{(t,s)}(x)$ is 1 if $i \in \mathcal{J}$ and 0 otherwise. Now $W^v(t,s) \subseteq V^v$ is the subgroup generated by $[-dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s)]_v$ and since v is odd we get that $W_v(t,s) \subseteq V_v$ is the subgroup generated by $[dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s)]_v$. The claim now follows directly from the definitions of $D_i^{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\widehat{D}_i^{\mathcal{J}}$ (see Definition 3.0.10). □

Corollary 3.5.4. *Let T be a finite set of places containing S and let (t,s) be a T-admissible pair. If an element $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in \mathcal{Q}_{(t,s)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_i$ for some i then x belongs to $\mathcal{G}_D$.*

Proof. By definition we have that $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even. In light of Lemma 3.5.3 we see that if $x \in \mathcal{G}_i$ is an element which is not in $\mathcal{G}_D$ then $\mathrm{ev}_{(t,s)}(x)$ will fail to satisfy the Selmer condition at the place v_x constructed in Construction 3.3.1. □

The following lemma gives a convenient characterization of elements in the weak dual Selmer group $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t,s)}$ associated with a T-admissible pair (t,s).

Lemma 3.5.5. *Let T be a finite set of places containing S and (t,s) a T-admissible pair with associated places $\{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{J}}$. Let $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in J_T$ be an element. Then x belongs to $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t,s)}$ if and only if the following holds:*

1. *For every $v \in T$ the element $\mathrm{ev}^{(t,s)}(x)$ belongs to $W^v(t,s)$.*
2. *There exists a $b \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that for every $i \in \mathcal{J}^c$ we have*

$$\left\langle p_i(t,s), \mathrm{ev}^{(t,s)}(x) \right\rangle_{u_i} = b$$

and for every $i \in \mathcal{J}$ we have

$$\left\langle p_i(t,s), \mathrm{ev}^{(t,s)}(x[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]) \right\rangle_{u_i} = b.$$

Proof. Let $T' = T(t,s)$ and let $\alpha = \mathrm{ev}^{(t,s)}(x) \in I_{T'}$. Recall that $T' \setminus T = \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{J}}$. By Remark 2.4.2 we see that α belongs to

$$\mathrm{Sel}^+(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{(t,s)}, T_0(t,s), \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{J}})$$

if and only if for every $(x_v)_{v\in T'} \in \oplus_{v\in T'} W_v(t,s)$ such that $\sum_{v\in T'\setminus T} \mathrm{val}_v\, x_v$ is even one has

$$\sum_{v\in T'} \langle x_v, \alpha \rangle_v = 0.$$

Since each $W_v(t,s)$ is at most 1-dimensional, and since for every $v \in T' \setminus T$ the generator of $W_v(t,s)$ has odd valuation, a simple linear algebra argument shows

that this condition can be equivalently stated as follows. For each $v \in T'$ let $x_v \in W_v(t,s)$ be a generator. Then α belongs to the weak dual Selmer group if and only if there exists a $b \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that

$$\langle x_v, \alpha \rangle_v = \begin{cases} 0 & v \in T \\ b & v \in T' \setminus T \end{cases}.$$

By definition we may take $x_v = [dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s)]_v$ for every $v \in T' \setminus T$. Now recall that $\mathrm{val}_{u_i}(p_i(t,s)) = \mathrm{val}_{u_i}(dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s)) = 1$ and $\mathrm{val}_{u^i}(\alpha) = \mathrm{val}_{u^i}(cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t,s))$ is 1 if $i \in \mathcal{J}$ and 0 otherwise. It follows that for every $i \in \mathcal{J}^c$ we have $\langle dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s), \alpha \rangle_{u_i} = \langle p_i(t,s), \alpha \rangle_{u_i}$ and for every $i \in \mathcal{J}$ we have $\langle dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s), \alpha) \rangle_{u_i} = \langle dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s), \alpha(-dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s)) \rangle_{u_i} = \left\langle dp_{\mathcal{I}}(t,s), \mathrm{ev}^{(t,s)}(x[-d][p_{\mathcal{I}}]) \right\rangle$. The desired result is now an immediate consequence. □

3.6 Comparing Selmer groups of nearby fibers

Let T be a finite set of places, let $(P_v)_{v \in T}$ be a suitable partial adelic point and let (t_0, s_0) be a T-admissible pair with associated places $\{u_i^0\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$. Our general goal is to find a way to replace (t_0, s_0) with another pair in a way that will reduce the strict Selmer group and weak dual Selmer groups of the associated fibers. Let w be a place not in $T(t,s)$, let $T_w = T \cup \{w\}$ and let $(Q_v)_{v \in T_w}$ be a suitable partial adelic point such that $Q_v = P_v$ for $v \in T$. We will make the following assumption:

Assumption 3.6.1. *There exists an $i_w \in \mathcal{I}$ for which $p_{i_w}(t_w, s_w)$ is a uniformizer.*

Remark 3.6.2. Since $w \notin S_0$ it follows from Assumption 3.6.1 that $p_i(t_w, s_w)$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_w$ for every $i \neq i_w$.

Let (t_1, s_1) be a T_w-admissible pair with respect to $(Q_v)_{v \in T_w}$ with associated places $\{u_i^1\}_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Our goal in this subsection is to be able to compare the weak dual Selmer groups and strict Selmer groups of $\mathcal{T}_{(t_0,s_0)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(t_1,s_1)}$. A comparison of the Selmer conditions for $\mathcal{T}_{(t_0,s_0)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(t_1,s_1)}$ is described in Proposition 3.6.5 below, but our main interest is Proposition 3.6.10, which gives sufficient conditions for the weak dual Selmer group of $\mathcal{T}_{(t_1,s_1)}$ to be strictly smaller than that of $\mathcal{T}_{(t_0,s_0)}$. We begin with a few preliminary results.

Lemma 3.6.3. *There exists an element $b \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that for every $i \neq j \in \mathcal{I}$ with $i \neq i_w$ we have*

$$\langle p_i(t_0,s_0), p_j(t_0,s_0) \rangle_{u_i^0} + \langle p_i(t_1,s_1), p_j(t_1,s_1) \rangle_{u_i^1} = b$$

Proof. If $|\mathcal{I}| = 2$ then the claim holds trivially. We may hence assume that $|\mathcal{I}| > 2$. Let us set

$$b_{i,j} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \langle p_i(t_0,s_0), p_j(t_0,s_0) \rangle_{u_i^0} + \langle p_i(t_1,s_1), p_j(t_1,s_1) \rangle_{u_i^1}$$

We first observe that if $i, j \neq i_w$ then by quadratic reciprocity

$$\langle p_i(t_0, s_0), p_j(t_0, s_0)\rangle_{u_i^0} + \langle p_i(t_0, s_0), p_j(t_0, s_0)\rangle_{u_j^0} =$$

$$\sum_{v \in T} \langle p_i(t_0, s_0), p_j(t_0, s_0)\rangle_v = \sum_{v \in T} \langle p_i(t_1, s_1), p_j(t_1, s_1)\rangle_v =$$

$$\langle p_i(t_1, s_1), p_j(t_1, s_1)\rangle_{u_i^1} + \langle p_i(t_1, s_1), p_j(t_1, s_1)\rangle_{u_j^1}$$

and so $b_{j,i} = b_{i,j}$ whenever $i, j \neq i_w$. We now claim that if $i, j, k \in \mathcal{I}$ are three distinct elements such that $i \neq i_w$ then $b_{i,j} = b_{i,k}$. To see this observe that by Lemma 3.5.3 we have

$$\left\langle p_i(t_0, s_0), p_{\{j,k\}}(t_0, s_0)\right\rangle_{u_i^0} = \left\langle p_i(t_0, s_0), D_i^{\{j,k\}}\right\rangle_{u_i^0} =$$

$$\sum_{v \in T} \left\langle p_i(t_0, s_0), D_i^{\{j,k\}}\right\rangle_v = \sum_{v \in T} \left\langle p_i(t_1, s_1), D_i^{\{j,k\}}\right\rangle_v =$$

$$\left\langle p_i(t_1, s_1), D_i^{\{j,k\}}\right\rangle_{u_i^1} = \left\langle p_i(t_1, s_1), p_{\{j,k\}}(t_1, s_1)\right\rangle_{u_i^1}.$$

Applying the equalities $b_{j,i} = b_{i,j}$ and $b_{i,j} = b_{i,k}$ for all distinct triplets $i, j, k \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{i_w\}$ we may deduce the existence of a $b \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that $b_{i,j} = b$ for every $i \neq j \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{i_w\}$. Finally, to see that $b_{i,i_w} = b$ for every $i \neq i_w$ we may choose a $j \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $j \neq i, i_w$ (which is possible since we assumed $|\mathcal{I}| > 2$) and use the equality $b_{i,i_w} = b_{i,j} = b$. □

Corollary 3.6.4. *Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ be the element constructed in Lemma 3.6.3, let $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in J^T$ be an element and let $i \in \mathcal{J}^c$. If $i = i_w$ assume in addition that $cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1, s_1)$ is a square at w. Then*

$$\langle p_i(t_0, s_0), cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_0, s_0)\rangle_{u_i^0} + \langle p_i(t_1, s_1), cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1, s_1)\rangle_{u_i^1} = b \cdot |\mathcal{J}| \in \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Proof. Let us first assume that $i \neq i_w$. Quadratic reciprocity implies that $\langle p_i(t_0, s_0), c\rangle_{u_i^0} = \langle p_i(t_1, s_1), c\rangle_{u_i^1}$ and hence by Lemma 3.6.3 we have

$$\langle p_i(t_0, s_0), cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_0, s_0)\rangle_{u_i^0} + \langle p_i(t_1, s_1), cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1, s_1)\rangle_{u_i^1} =$$

$$\langle p_i(t_0, s_0), p_{\mathcal{J}}(t_0, s_0)\rangle_{u_i^0} + \langle p_i(t_1, s_1), p_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1, s_1)\rangle_{u_i^1} =$$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \langle p_i(t_0, s_0), p_j(t_0, s_0)\rangle_{u_i^0} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \langle p_i(t_1, s_1), p_j(t_1, s_1)\rangle_{u_i^1} = b|\mathcal{J}|.$$

Now let $i = i_w$ and assume that $cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1, s_1)$ is a square at w. For every $v \in T$ we have $\langle p_{i_w}(t_0, s_0), cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_0, s_0)\rangle_v = \langle p_{i_w}(t_1, s_1), cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1, s_1)\rangle_v$ and so by using quadratic reciprocity and the fact that $cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1, s_1)$ is a square at w we may conclude that

$$\langle p_{i_w}(t_0, s_0), cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_0, s_0)\rangle_{u_{i_w}^0} + \langle p_{i_w}(t_1, s_1), cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1, s_1)\rangle_{u_{i_w}^1} =$$

$$\sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}}\left[\langle p_{i_w}(t_0,s_0), cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_0,s_0)\rangle_{u_j^0} + \langle p_{i_w}(t_1,s_1), cp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1,s_1)\rangle_{u_j^1}\right] =$$

$$\sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}}\left[\langle p_{i_w}(t_0,s_0), p_j(t_0,s_0)\rangle_{u_j^0} + \langle p_{i_w}(t_1,s_1), p_j(t_1,s_1)\rangle_{u_j^1}\right] = b|\mathcal{J}|$$

□

Our first comparison result relates the Selmer conditions of $\mathcal{T}_{(t_0,s_0)}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{(t_1,s_1)}$ at the places of T and the places u_i^0, u_i^1 for $i \neq i_w$.

Proposition 3.6.5. *Let $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in J_T$ be an element such that $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even. Then*

1. *For every $v \in T$ we have $\mathrm{ev}_{(t_0,s_0)}(x) \in W_v(t_0,s_0) \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x) \in W_v(t_1,s_1)$.*
2. *For every $i \neq i_w \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\mathrm{ev}_{(t_0,s_0)}(x) \in W_{u_0^i}(t_0,s_0) \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x) \in W_{u_1^i}(t_1,s_1)$.*

Proof. Claim (1) follows from Condition(1) of Definition 3.4.2. Now let $i \neq i_w$ be an element of $\mathcal{I}$. Since $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even we may combine Corollary 3.6.4 with Lemma 3.5.3 and conclude that

$$\left\langle p_i(t_0,s_0), cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}\right\rangle_{u_i^0} + \left\langle p_i(t_1,s_1), cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}\right\rangle_{u_i^1} = 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{val}_{u_i^0} p_i(t_0,s_0) = \mathrm{val}_{u_i^1} p_i(t_1,s_1) = 1$ and $cD_i^{\mathcal{J}}$ is an S-unit we see that Claim (2) now follows from Lemma 3.5.3. □

Let us now turn our attention to comparing the weak dual Selmer groups of $\mathcal{T}_{(t_0,s_0)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(t_1,s_1)}$. Let V_w, V^w be two copies of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_w, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. We have natural maps

$$\mathrm{loc}_w : J_{T_w} \longrightarrow V_w$$

and

$$\mathrm{loc}^w : J^{T_w} \longrightarrow V^w$$

obtained by composing $\mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}$ (resp. $\mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}$) with the localization map at w. For $j = 0, 1$ let us denote by $\mathcal{Q}^0_{(t_j,s_j)} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{(t_j,s_j)}$ the subgroup consisting of those elements $[c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in \mathcal{Q}_{(t_j,s_j)}$ such that $i_w \notin \mathcal{J}$. Similarly, let $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_j,s_j)} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_j,s_j)}$ be the subgroup consisting of those elements $[c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_j,s_j)}$ such that $i_w \notin \mathcal{J}$.

Remark 3.6.6. For each $j = 0, 1$ we have that $\mathcal{Q}_{(t_j,s_j)}$ is freely generated (as an $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space) by $\mathcal{Q}^0_{(t_j,s_j)}$ and $[d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$. Similarly, $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_j,s_j)}$ is freely generated by $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_j,s_j)}$ and $[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$.

Definition 3.6.7. For $j = 0, 1$ let $P_j \subseteq V_w$ be the image of $\mathcal{Q}^0_{(t_j,s_j)}$ via loc_w and let P^j be the image of $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_j,s_j)}$ via loc^w.

Remark 3.6.8. By definition we have $P_1 \subseteq W_w(t_1, s_1)$ and $P^1 \subseteq W^w(t_1, s_1)$ and so $\dim_2 P_1, \dim_2 P^1 \leq 1$. On the other hand, by Remark 3.6.2 we have that $p_i(t_1, s_1)$ is a unit at w for $i \neq i_w$ and since $w \notin T$ it follows that $P_0, P^0 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_w^*/(\mathbb{Z}_w^*)^2$. In particular, $\dim_2 P_0, \dim_2 P^0 \leq 1$ as well.

Lemma 3.6.9. *The Hilbert pairing of any element in $P_0 \subseteq V_w$ with any element of $P^1 \subseteq V^w$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in \mathcal{Q}^0_{(t_0,s_0)}$ and $x' = [c'][p_{\mathcal{J}'}] \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_1,s_1)}$ be elements. By definition we have $i_w \notin \mathcal{J}$ and $i_w \notin \mathcal{J}'$. It follows that both $\mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x)$ and $\mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x')$ are units at $u^1_{i_w}$, and hence

$$\left\langle \mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x), \mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x') \right\rangle_{u^1_w} = 0.$$

On the other hand, for every $v \in T$ and every $v = u^1_i$ with $i \neq i_w$ we have from Proposition 3.6.5 that $\mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x) \in W_v(t_1, s_1)$. Now, by definition we have $\mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x') \in W^v(t_1, s_1)$ and since $W_v(t_1, s_1)$ is orthogonal to $W^v(t_1, s_1)$ it follows that

$$\left\langle \mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x), \mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x') \right\rangle_{v} = 0$$

for these v's as well. Since $\mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x)$ and $\mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x')$ are units outside $T_w(t_1, s_1)$ it follows from quadratic reciprocity that

$$\left\langle \mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x), \mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x') \right\rangle_{w} = \sum_{v \in T \cup \{u^1_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{J}}} \left\langle \mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x), \mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x') \right\rangle_{v} = 0$$

as desired. □

We are now in a position to compare the weak dual Selmer groups of $\mathcal{T}_{(t_0,s_0)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{(t_1,s_1)}$.

Proposition 3.6.10.

1. *Let $x = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_1,s_1)}$ be an element such that $\mathrm{loc}^w(x) = 0$. Then x belongs to $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_0,s_0)}$.*

2. *If both P_0 and P^0 are non-zero then $P^1 = \{0\}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_1,s_1)} \subsetneq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_0,s_0)}$.*

Proof. Let us first prove (1). Since $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_1,s_1)}$ we have $i_w \notin \mathcal{J}$ by definition. Since furthermore $\mathrm{loc}^w(x) = 0$ it follows that c is a unit at w, i.e., $c \in \mathbb{Z}_T^*$. Now since $\mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x) \in W^v(t_1, s_1)$ for every $v \in T$, Condition (1) of Definition 3.4.2 implies that $\mathrm{ev}^{(t_0,s_0)}(x) \in W^v(t_0, s_0)$ for every $v \in T$. By Lemma 3.5.5 there exists a $b \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that for every $i \in \mathcal{J}^c$ we have $\left\langle p_i(t_1, s_1), \mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x) \right\rangle_{u^1_i} = b$ and for every $i \in \mathcal{J}$ we have $\left\langle p_i(t_1, s_1), \mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]) \right\rangle_{u^1_i} = b$. By our assumption we have that $\mathrm{ev}_{(t_1,s_1)}(x)$ is a square at w. Applying Lemma 3.6.3

and Corollary 3.6.4, we may deduce the existence of a $b' \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ such that for every $i \in \mathcal{J}^c$ (including $i = i_w$) we have

$$\left\langle p_i(t_0,s_0), \mathrm{ev}^{(t_0,s_0)}(x) \right\rangle_{u_i^0} + \left\langle p_i(t_1,s_1), \mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x) \right\rangle_{u_i^1} = b' \cdot |\mathcal{J}| \in \mathbb{Z}/2$$

and for every $i \in \mathcal{J}$ (which means in particular that $i \neq i_w$) we have

$$\left\langle p_i(t_0,s_0), \mathrm{ev}^{(t_0,s_0)}(x[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]) \right\rangle_{u_i^0} + \left\langle p_i(t_1,s_1), \mathrm{ev}^{(t_1,s_1)}(x[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]) \right\rangle_{u_i^1} = b' \cdot |\mathcal{J}^c| = b' \cdot |\mathcal{J}| \in \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

It follows that $\mathrm{ev}_{(t_0,s_0)}(x)$ satisfies the condition described in Lemma 3.5.5 with respect to $b + b'|\mathcal{J}|$, and so $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_0,s_0)}$ as desired.

To prove (2), observe that by remark 3.6.8 the condition $P_0 \neq \{0\}$ is equivalent to $P_0 = \mathbb{Z}_w^*/(\mathbb{Z}_w^*)^2$. Lemma 3.6.9 then implies that $P^1 \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_w^*/(\mathbb{Z}_w^*)^2$. By Remark 3.6.8 we also have $P^1 \subseteq W^w(t_1,s_1)$. Since the intersection of $W^w(t_1,s_1)$ with the subspace $\mathbb{Z}_w^*/(\mathbb{Z}_w^*)^2 \subseteq V^w$ is trivial it follows that $P^1 = \{0\}$ and hence $\mathrm{loc}^w(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_1,s_1)}$. By claim (1) we may conclude that $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_1,s_1)} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_0,s_0)}$. Furthermore, $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_1,s_1)}$ is contained in the kernel of the map

$$\mathrm{loc}^w : \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_0,s_0)} \longrightarrow V^w.$$

Since $P^0 \neq \{0\}$ this kernel is strictly smaller than $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_0,s_0)}$ and so we obtain a strict inclusion

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_1,s_1)} \subsetneq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_0,s_0)}$$

as desired. □

3.7 Proof of the main theorem

Now that we are able to compare the Selmer groups of nearby fibers, we wish to show that given a T-admissible pair (t_0,s_0), if $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_0,s_0)}$ is too big, then we may choose a place w and a $(T \cup \{w\})$-admissible pair (t_1,s_1) such that $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_1,s_1)} \subsetneq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_0,s_0)}$.

Proposition 3.7.1. *Let T be a finite set of places containing S, let $\{P_v\}_{v\in T}$ be a suitable partial adelic point and let (t_0,s_0) be a T-admissible pair (with respect to $\{P_v\}_{v\in T}$) with associated places $\{u_i^0\}_{i\in\mathcal{J}}$. Let $[x] \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_0,s_0)}$ be such that $[x] \notin \{0,[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]\}$. Then there exists a place w, a suitable partial adelic point $\{Q_v\}_{v\in T\cup\{w\}}$ and a $(T \cup \{w\})$-admissible pair (t_1,s_1) with respect to $\{Q_v\}_{v\in(T\cup\{w\})}$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_1,s_1)} \subsetneq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_0,s_0)}$.*

Proof. Let $[x] = [c][p_{\mathcal{J}}] \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_0,s_0)}$ be such that $[x] \notin \{0,[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]\}$. By our assumptions there are at least two places $v_\infty^1, v_\infty^2 \in S_0$ which split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-dp_{\mathcal{J}}(t_0,s_0)})$. In light of Remark 2.4.3 we may deduce that

$$\dim_2 \mathcyr{Ш}_{(t_0,s_0)} > \dim_2 \widehat{\mathcyr{Ш}}_{(t_0,s_0)} \geq 2.$$

We may hence conclude that there exists a $x' = [c'][p_{\mathcal{J}'}] \in \mathcal{Q}_{(t_0,s_0)}$ such that x' does not belong to the group generated by $[a][p_{\mathcal{A}}]$ and $[d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$. We now separate our strategy into two cases:

1. If $|\mathcal{J}|$ is even, then by Condition (D) there exists an $i_x \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $c\widehat{D}^{\mathcal{J}}_{i_x} \notin \left\{1, \left[aD^{\mathcal{A}}_{i_x}\right]\right\}$. In light of Remark 3.0.11 we may, by possibly replacing x with $x[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$ and x' with $x'[d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$, assume that $i_x \in \mathcal{J}^c \cap (\mathcal{J}')^c$. By combining Corollary 3.5.4 with Condition (D) we may also conclude that $\left[c'D^{\mathcal{J}'}_{i_x}\right] \notin \left\{1, \left[aD^{\mathcal{A}}_{i_x}\right]\right\}$. Applying Chabotarev's density theorem we may deduce the existence of a place w such that $aD^{\mathcal{A}}_{i_x}$ is a square at w but $c\widehat{D}^{\mathcal{J}}_{i_x}$ and $c'D^{\mathcal{J}'}_{i_x}$ are non-squares at w. Let $t_w, s_w \in \mathbb{Z}_w$ be such that $p_{i_x}(t_w, s_w)$ is a uniformizer.

2. If $|\mathcal{J}|$ is odd then we can still use Corollary 3.5.4 to show that for any $i_x \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\left[c'D^{\mathcal{J}'}_{i_x}\right] \notin \left\{1, \left[aD^{\mathcal{A}}_{i_x}\right]\right\}$ (recall that $|\mathcal{J}'|$ is even by definition). By possibly replacing x' with $x'[d][p_{\mathcal{J}}]$ we may assume that $\mathcal{J}^c \cap (\mathcal{J}')^c \neq \emptyset$. Choose an $i_x \in \mathcal{J}^c \cap (\mathcal{J}')^c$ and apply Chabotarev's density theorem to deduce the existence of a place w such that $aD^{\mathcal{A}}_{i_x}$ is a square at w but $c'D^{\mathcal{J}'}_{i_x}$ is a non-square at w. Let $t_w, s_w \in \mathbb{Z}_w$ be such that $p_{i_x}(t_w, s_w)$ is a uniformizer. Since $|\mathcal{J}|$ is odd we can, by possibly multiplying both t_w and s_w by a $u \in \mathbb{Z}_w^*$, assume that $[\mathrm{ev}_{(t_w,s_w)}(x)]$ is a non-square unit at $\mathbb{Z}_w$.

In either of the two cases, Lemma 3.2.2 implies that the fiber $\mathcal{X}_{(t_w,s_w)}$ has an $\mathbb{Z}_w$-point. Let $T_w = T \cup \{w\}$. Define $\{Q_v\}_{v\in T\cup\{w\}}$ by setting $Q_v = P_v$ for $v \in T$ and $Q_w \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Z}_w)$ to be a point lying above (t_w, s_w). By Proposition 3.4.5 we may find a T_w-admissible pair (t_1, s_1) with respect to $\{Q_v\}_{v\in T\cup\{w\}}$ and we denote by $\{u^1_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ be the associated places. For $j = 0, 1$ consider the $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector spaces P_j and P^j of Definition 3.6.7. By our construction we have $x \in \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_0,s_0)}$ and $x' \in \mathcal{Q}^0_{(t_0,s_0)}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.5.3 and by our choice of w we have that $\mathrm{loc}^w(x) \neq 0 \in V^w$ and $\mathrm{loc}_w(x') \neq 0 \in V_w$. We may hence conclude that $P^0, P_0 \neq \{0\}$. By Proposition 3.6.10 we now get

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_1,s_1)} \subsetneq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^0_{(t_0,s_0)}$$

and by Remark 3.6.6 it follows that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_1,s_1)} \subsetneq \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_{(t_0,s_0)}$$

as desired.

□

Proof of Theorem 3.0.18. Starting with a suitable partial adelic point $(P_v)_{v\in S}$ (whose existence is guaranteed by Proposition 3.3.5) we may apply Proposition 3.4.5 to find an S-admissible pair (t_0, s_0) with respect to $(P_v)_{v\in S}$. By repeated applications of Proposition 3.7.1 we may replace (t_0, s_0) with another

point (t_1, s_1) such that the weak dual Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}^+(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{(t_1,s_1)}, T_0(t_1,s_1), \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{J}})$ is generated by $[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1,s_1)]$ and hence the true dual Selmer group

$$\mathrm{Sel}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{(t_1,s_1)}, T_0(t_1,s_1)) \subseteq \mathrm{Sel}^+(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{(t_1,s_1)}, T_0(t_1,s_1), \{u_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{J}})$$

is also generated by $[-d][p_{\mathcal{J}}(t_1,s_1)]$. In light of Remark 3.4.4 we may apply Corollary 2.3.2 to deduce that $\mathcal{X}_{(t_1,s_1)}$ has an S_0-integral point. □

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank the Foundation Science Mathématique de Paris for their support.

References

[1] Bender, A. O., Swinnerton-Dyer, P., Solubility of certain pencils of curves of genus 1, and of the intersection of two quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^4$, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 83.2, 2001, p. 299–329.

[2] Borovoi, M., Demarche, C., Manin obstruction to strong approximation for homogeneous spaces, *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, to appear.

[3] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Hasse principle and weak approximation for pencils of Severi-Brauer and similar varieties, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 453, 1994, p. 49–112.

[4] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Hasse principle for pencils of curves of genus one whose jacobians have a rational 2-division point, *Rational points on algebraic varieties*, Birkhäuser Basel, 2001, p. 117–161.

[5] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Sansuc, J.-J. , Swinnerton-Dyer, P., Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces I, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 373, 1987, p. 37–107.

[6] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Skorobogatov, A. N., and Swinnerton-Dyer, P., Rational points and zero-cycles on fibred varieties: Schinzel's hypothesis and Salberger's device, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 495, 1998, p. 1–28.

[7] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Skorobogatov, A. N.,1 Swinnerton-Dyer, P., Hasse principle for pencils of curves of genus one whose Jacobians have rational 2-division points, *Inventiones mathematicae*, 134.3, 1998, p. 579–650.

[8] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L., Wittenberg, O., Groupe de Brauer et points entiers de deux familles de surfaces cubiques affines, *American Journal of Mathematics*, 134.5, 2012, p. 1303–1327.

[9] Colliot-Thélène J-.L., Xu F., Brauer-Manin obstruction for integral points of homogeneous spaces and representation by integral quadratic forms, *Compositio Mathematica*, 145.02, 2009, p. 309–363.

[10] Green, B., T. Tao, Linear equations in primes, *Annals of Mathematics*, to appear.

[11] Harari. D., Méthode des fibrations et obstruction de Manin, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 75, 1994, p. 221–260.

[12] Harari D., Voloch J. F., The Brauer-Manin obstruction for integral points on curves, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 149.3, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[13] Harpaz, Y., Geometry and arithmetic of certain log K3 surfaces, preprint, arXiv:1511.01285, 2015

[14] Harpaz, Y., Skorobogatov A. N., Hasse principle for generalised Kummer varieties, preprint, arXiv:1504.02343, 2015.

[15] Harpaz, Y., Skorobogatov A. N. and Wittenberg, O., The Hardy-Littlewood Conjecture and Rational Points, *Compositio Mathematica*, 150, 2014, p. 2095-2111.

[16] Harpaz, Y., Wittenberg, O., On the fibration method for zero-cycles and rational points, *Annals of Mathematics*, to appear.

[17] Mazur, B., Notes on tale cohomology of number fields, *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 6.4, 1973.

[18] Milne, *Arithmetic Duality Theorems*, second ed., BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006.

[19] Poonen, B., Stoll, M., The Cassels-Tate pairing on polarized abelian varieties, *Annals of Mathematics*, 150.3, 1999, p. 1109–1149.

[20] Serre, J-.P., Résumé des cours au Collège de France, 1991-1992.

[21] Skorobogatov, A.N., On the fibration method for proving the Hasse principle and weak approximation, *Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres*, Paris 19881989, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 91, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 205219.

[22] Skorobogatov, A., *Torsors and rational points*, Vol. 144. Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[23] Skorobogatov, A. N., Swinnerton-Dyer, P., 2-descent on elliptic curves and rational points on certain Kummer surfaces, *Advances in Mathematics*. 198.2, 2005, p. 448–483.

[24] Swinnerton-Dyer, P., Rational points on pencils of conics and on pencils of quadrics, *Journal of the London Mathenatical Society*, 50.2, 1994, p. 231–242.

[25] Swinnerton-Dyer, P., Rational points on certain intersections of two quadrics, in: *Abelian varieties*, Barth, Hulek and Lange ed., Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1995.

[26] Swinnerton-Dyer, P., Arithmetic of diagonal quartic surfaces II, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 80.3, 2000, p. 513–544.

[27] Swinnerton-Dyer, P., The solubility of diagonal cubic surfaces, *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, 34.6, 2001.

[28] Wei D., Xu F., Integral points for multi-norm tori, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 104(5), 2012, p. 1019–1044.

[29] Wei D., Xu F., Integral points for groups of multiplicative type, *Advances in Mathematics*, 232.1, 2013, p. 36–56.

[30] Wittenberg, O., *Intersections de deux quadriques et pinceaux de courbes de genre 1*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1901, Springer, 2007.

[Zh14] Zhu, Y., Log rationally connected surfaces, preprint, arXiv:1412.2665, 2014.